African Journal of Emerging Issues
Peer reviewed | Double blind | Interdisciplinary

African Journal of Emerging Issues (AJOEI)
Online ISSN: 2663 - 9335
Available at: https://ajoeijournals.org

ORGANIZATIONAL LEADERSHIP

LEADING INNOVATION, CREATIVITY AND CHANGE APPROACHES: THE PREMEDITATED LINK IN LEARNING ORGANIZATIONS' SUCCESS

[*1]Chimakati Fredrick Mutsoli & [2]Dr Irungu Macharia

[1]PhD Student, Pan Africa Christian University

[2]Lecturer, Pan Africa Christian University

***Email of the Corresponding Author: freddiechi@gmail.com**

Publication Date: February 2024

ABSTRACT

Purpose of the Study: This literature review examines the critical role of innovation in learning organizations and strategies to promote it as a core competency.

Methodology: A review of existing literature on innovation barriers and approaches in organizational contexts.

Findings: While necessary for adaptation and competitiveness, innovation poses barriers including resource constraints, risk aversion, and siloed structures. Targeted strategies can promote innovation by providing leadership support, facilitating collaboration, allocating resources to experimentation, instilling a risk-taking culture, and prioritizing innovation.

Conclusion: Learning organizations can foster innovation through cultural and structural approaches that support idea flows, patient creativity, skills development, and intelligent failures. Making innovation integral positions organizations to evolve amid uncertainty.

Recommendations: Learning organizations should provide visible leadership support, psychological safety, cross-border collaboration, and patient incubation of ideas. Innovation should be an everyday priority and competency, rather than a standalone initiative.

Keywords: *Leading Innovation, Creativity, Change Approaches, Premeditated Link, Learning Organizations*

INTRODUCTION

In today's rapidly evolving world, organizations have to embrace innovation and change in order to successfully adapt and thrive. Organizations that actively adopt innovation and change are in the most advantageous position to consistently enhance and achieve success (Hamel, 2002). This paper explores the essence of innovation, various forms of innovation, attributes of learning organizations, the significance of innovation in learning organizations, and suggestions for promoting innovation. Innovation plays a crucial role as a core capability that enables learning organizations to consistently adjust, rejuvenate, and deliver distinctive value in ever-changing environments (Lengnick et al., 2016). Although innovation, creativity, and change present difficulties in implementation, they can be encouraged through intentional strategies that involve support from leaders, collaboration, a culture of learning, resources, and a willingness to take risks. The notion of innovation has evolved over time, with its initial groundwork established by influential intellectuals such as Joseph Schumpeter, who defined innovation as the introduction of novel combinations of productive resources (Schumpeter, 1934 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). According to Chimakati (2023), Drucker (1985) emphasized the significance of innovation in taking advantage of change as a favorable circumstance. Extensive research has been conducted on the relationship between innovation and the ability of organizations to achieve a competitive edge. Theoretical models proposed by scholars like Porter and Tushman have been explored in this regard (Smith et al., 2008).

In learning organizations, innovation plays various strategic roles. It facilitates ongoing adjustment in ever-changing conditions (Hamel, 2002), overcomes resistance and reluctance to change (Oster, 2011), promotes the emergence of new opportunities for growth (Davila et al., 2006), and maintains competitiveness (Hesselbein & Johnston, 2002). Nevertheless, organizations encounter obstacles to innovation, such as limited resources, aversion to risk, and organizational structures that impede collaboration and creativity (Sloane, 2007). Targeted strategies can enhance innovation by offering leadership endorsement (Hamel, 2002), fostering collaboration and knowledge exchange (Hesselbein & Johnston, 2002; Sloane, 2007), allocating resources for experimentation (Davila et al., 2006), fostering ongoing learning (Senge, 1990), and embracing risks and failures (Oster, 2011). Deliberate facilitation of innovation is essential for establishing it as a fundamental organizational capability.

THE NATURE OF INNOVATION

Innovation may be understood as the overview of original thoughts, products, processes or procedures with benefit provision to an establishment (Davila et al., 2006). Innovation involves creativity and divergent thinking to come up with fresh approaches. It also requires implementation to put the new ideas into practice. Innovation is vital for organizations to gain competitive advantage, meet changing customer needs, and proactively shape their environment (Hamel, 2002). The definition and conceptualization of innovation has evolved over decades of scholarly research. Early economists characterized innovation as the commercial starter of a new product or procedure (Schmookler, 1966 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Drucker (1985 as cited by Chimakati, 2023) viewed innovation more broadly as the ways by which organizations adventure change as an opportunity. Porter (1985 as cited by Chimakati, 2023) linked innovation to competitive advantage, while Schumpeter (1934 as cited by Chimakati, 2023) highlighted new combinations of resources. Contemporary researchers have synthesized perspectives to describe innovation as the starter of new or better-quality offerings, processes, business models, strategies, or capabilities that create worth and set an organization apart (Sloane, 2007; Davila et al., 2006). Innovation represents both radical breakthroughs and incremental changes in what way an organization operates and provides value.

According to Drucker (1985) innovation could take many forms beyond just new products. Drucker espoused innovation as the primary means for organizations to systematically exploit change as an opportunity, underpinning growth and competition (as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Strategist Porter (1985) also linked innovation to competitive advantage, suggesting it was a key driver of differentiation and cost leadership in an industry (as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Schumpeter (1934) identified innovation as arising from new combinations of resources, which could include production methods, sources of supply, manipulation of new-fangled bazaars, and organizational forms (as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Schumpeter recognized innovation can happen across domains and functions, not just in R&D.

Contemporary innovation researchers have synthesized earlier perspectives to develop multidimensional definitions. Sloane (2007) outlined innovation as the process of making changes, large and small, radical and incremental, to products, processes, and services that results in the introduction of something new for the organization that adds value to customers and contributes to the knowledge store of the organization (as cited by Chimakati, 2023). This

highlights innovation as a continuous dynamic engagement laced with establishments' process encompassing incremental improvements as well as radical breakthroughs across all organizational activities.

Likewise, Davila et al. (2006) described different methods of innovation including product, procedure, infrastructure, business model, service, and management innovation. They argue innovation represents new ways of doing things that result in positive changes in economic and social measures including profitability, productivity, quality, competitiveness, market share, environmental sustainability and employment levels (as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

TYPES OF INNOVATION

There exists a series of innovation categories including product innovation, procedure innovation, promotional advertising, and establishments innovation (Davila et al., 2006). Merchandise innovation involves introducing newfangled or better-quality products or services. Procedure innovation focuses on enhancing production and delivery methods. Marketing innovation relates to new marketing techniques or delivery channels. Establishments innovation denotes to new-fangled organizational structured systems, practices or management approaches. While innovation can be broadly defined as implementing new ideas to create value, it is helpful to distinguish different forms innovation can take. Researchers have identified various typologies of innovation based on the scope and degree of change involved. One of the most well-known typologies comes from business scholars Davila et al (2006). They describe six main types of innovation: Merchandise innovation, Procedure innovation, Infrastructure innovation, Commercial model innovation, Service innovation and Management innovation. Analyzing the type of innovation reveals the scope of organizational change involved. Innovation initiatives may focus on a discrete product, process, or system or more broadly transform business models, services, and management paradigms. The innovation type also indicates where in the organization innovation opportunities may reside:

Merchandise Innovation

Merchandise innovation entails introducing new or substantially improved products and services that create value for customers and differentiate the organization (Davila et al., 2006). Product innovations can range from incremental improvements to existing offerings to radical new products that disrupt entire markets. Incremental product innovations build upon existing offerings with enhancements like new features, functionality, design, quality, or performance (Sloane, 2007). For example, automobile manufacturers continually make incremental styling

changes, upgrades to comfort and safety features, and improvements in energy efficiency. These innovations provide value but do not fundamentally alter the product category. In contrast, radical or disruptive product innovations establish brand new product categories and consumption patterns. Prominent examples include the personal computer, mobile phone, and hybrid vehicle (Christensen, 2013 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Radical innovations can obsolete entire product classes while creating growth opportunities. However, they require consumers to significantly change behavior and often initially underperform existing products on traditional metrics (Christensen, 1997 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Procedure Innovation

Process innovation is the implementation of new or significantly improved production, supply chain, or service delivery methods (Davenport, 1993; Chimakati, 2023). Process innovation seeks to improve efficiency, lower costs, improve quality, and enable scaling. This enables businesses to increase productivity, optimize operations, reduce errors, and free up resources for strategic goals (Damapour, 1987). Process innovation entails optimizing the manufacturing process and value chain activities to improve operational performance (Davila et al., 2006). Process innovation seeks to boost productivity, efficiency, quality, speed, flexibility, and sustainability. Many process innovations rely on incremental improvements inspired by kaizen, lean, Six Sigma, and continuous improvement philosophies (Sloane, 2007). Automobile manufacturers, for example, use data analytics and IoT sensors to gradually improve production efficiency on assembly lines. Process innovation does not necessitate significant capital investment. Radical process innovations use technology and new operating models to transform manufacturing. For instance, additive manufacturing disrupts traditional assembly lines. End-to-end digitization of the value chain improves the flow of physical products, information, and finances. Radical process innovation necessitates a transformation of organizational capabilities and culture, not just new equipment (Hammer, 2004 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Infrastructure Innovation

Infrastructure innovation involves implementing new systems, tools, technologies, and physical facilities to support business operations (Davila et al., 2006). Infrastructure innovations improve organizational efficiency, communication, data analytics, and working environments. Incremental infrastructure innovations include upgrades like new versions of enterprise software, office reconfigurations, and computer equipment refreshes. For instance,

companies roll out new collaboration platforms and productivity software on a periodic basis. Radical infrastructure innovations entail whole new ways of digitizing operations and managing facilities. For example, the Internet of Things connects physical infrastructure to collect data and enable automation. Flexible workspaces with advanced virtual connectivity tools facilitate remote work. Radical infrastructure innovation requires integration with broader strategy and workflows (Bosch-Sijtsema & Bosch, 2015 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Commercial Model Innovation

Commercial model innovation means implementing entirely new approaches to creating and capturing value (Sloane, 2007). It expands innovation beyond products and processes to business model components like customer segments, revenue models, partnerships, distribution channels, and cost structures. Incremental business model innovations adapt elements of the existing model. For instance, a company may expand product access by offering new financing options for customers. While enhancing the model, core elements remain unchanged (Wirtz et al., 2016 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Radical business model innovation establishes an entirely new basis for competitive advantage and blurs industry boundaries. For example, car sharing services like Zipcar provide transportation through access rather than ownership. This disrupts traditional vehicle sales and rental models (Markides, 2006 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Service Innovation

Service innovation involves introducing new services or improving existing services to boost customer value (Ostrom et al., 2015 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Service innovations may be supplemental to a core product or stand-alone service offerings. Incremental service innovations add new support services adjacent to products. For instance, computer companies now provide technical support, training, and maintenance to complement hardware and software sales. Radical service innovations introduce services in entirely new contexts to serve unmet needs. Telehealth provides virtual medical care and wearable devices enable health monitoring, disrupting traditional healthcare delivery models. The line between product and service organizations blurs (Ordanini & Rubera, 2010 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Management Innovation

Management innovation changes how human and resources are organized, motivated, and governed to improve firm performance (Birkinshaw et al., 2008 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

It can include strategy, structure, people management, measurement, and culture. Incremental management innovations modify existing policies, processes, leadership approaches, and tools. For instance, new collaboration platforms aim to improve team coordination. Radical management innovations revolutionize organizational design, incentives, and power structures. Extreme decentralization, artificial intelligence management systems, and open source-style collaboration exemplify radical management innovation (Hamel, 2007 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). The manager's role transforms from controller to facilitator.

CHARACTERISTICS OF LEARNING ORGANIZATIONS

Learning organizations have cultures and practices that enable continuous learning, improvement and innovation (Hesselbein et al., 2005). Key characteristics include a shared vision focused on learning, open communication and information flow, an innovation-supportive culture, knowledge management practices, employee empowerment, and strong leadership support for learning. Learning organizations exhibit the vital capacity to rapidly adapt in response to changes in their external and internal environment (Senge, 1990). According to Hamel and Välikangas (2003), learning organizations demonstrate strategic agility in their business models, operations, and management approach to capitalize on emerging opportunities and address disruptive threats. They emphasize flexibility rather than rigidity. Drucker (1985 as cited by Chimakati, 2023) highlighted the strategic importance of exploiting change as an opportunity, rather than viewing it as a threat. Adaptability enables learning organizations to continuously align with evolving market conditions, new technologies, and shifts in the competitive landscape (Grant, 1996).

By developing a culture and capabilities geared towards flexibility and responsiveness, learning organizations position themselves to proactively navigate uncertainty and drive growth. Learning organizations recognize experimentation with new ideas, initiatives and innovations as a core process for fueling growth and opportunity discovery (Hamel, 2002). They actively cultivate a "fail fast" culture focused on iterative prototyping and learning rather than perfection (Sitkin, 1992). This provides space for radical thinking, creativity, and breakthrough innovation to emerge. According to Thomke (2001), experimentation enables organizations to gain intelligence about uncertain opportunities rapidly and cost-effectively. Learning organizations leverage controlled experiments to test assumptions, refine concepts, and accelerate innovation. By embracing experimentation, organizations can enhance their entrepreneurial capacity. To gain diverse perspectives and enable creative solutions, learning

organizations emphasize open information flows and collaboration across functional boundaries and hierarchies (Pedler et al., 1989).

Senge (1990) described team learning and dialogue as vital to gaining generative insights. Collaboration breaks down silos and equips organizations with multifaceted lenses to approach challenges and identify opportunities. Knowledge is freely exchanged rather than hoarded within specific groups, which fuels innovation and progress (Gurteen, 1998) as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Cross-boundary collaboration enhances the synthesis ability of learning organizations. Learning organizations instill a culture and infrastructure geared towards continuous education, growth and capability development at the individual, team and enterprise level (Watkins & Marsick, 1993) as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Through regular training, job rotation, mentorship, and reflection, they renew their skills and knowledge to alignment with changes in the environment (Garvin, 1993) as cited by Chimakati, 2023). As Senge (1990) noted, lasting adaptation requires embedding learning within daily work rather than having it be an occasional activity. Learning organizations reinforce that growth is an ongoing journey rather than a destination.

ROLE OF INNOVATION IN LEARNING ORGANIZATIONS

Innovation is essential in learning organizations (Sloane, 2007). It provides new ideas, processes, and structures for continuous improvement and adaptation. Innovation is essential for creating new products and services, streamlining internal processes, entering new markets, and reorganizing to better meet changing conditions. Learning organizations rely on innovation to maintain a competitive advantage. To thrive in the face of uncertainty, learning organizations must embrace innovation. According to Hamel (2002), today, innovation is the primary means of gaining a competitive advantage and the primary weapon of industry revolutionaries. Innovation allows learning organizations to adapt and renew themselves rather than stagnate.

First and foremost, innovation serves as a catalyst for ongoing evolution. In his seminal 1990 book The Fifth Discipline, Peter Senge emphasized that learning organizations must be in a constant state of change, not stability (Chimakati, 2023). To respond to changing external realities, learning organizations must continuously innovate their systems, processes, and business models (Jucevicius & Grumadaite, 2014). Without continuous innovation, learning organizations fail to adapt and become irrelevant over time (Horth & Vehar, 2014). As Davila et al. (2009) explained, various types of innovation, ranging from incremental improvements

to radical new offerings, enable learning organizations to continuously progress rather than regress.

Second, innovation enables learning organizations to address emerging challenges in a complex and rapidly changing world. According to Hesselbein, Goldsmith, and Somerville (2005), "innovation is the tool that enables an organization to take advantage of change—by creating the products, processes, services, and business models of the future" (Chimakati, 2023). Learning organizations face increasing uncertainty and disruptions due to economic volatility, disruptive technology, and changing consumer tastes. They need strong innovation skills to counter unexpected threats and seize new opportunities (Sloane, 2007). Thus, organizational resilience and relevance necessitate innovation rather than being an option.

Third, innovation complements the core characteristics of learning organizations. According to Senge (1990), learning organizations exhibit agility, experimentation, openness to new ideas, and tolerance for uncertainty and risk (Chimakati, 2023). These same characteristics encourage and unleash innovation (Kanter, 2006). Learning organizations' cultures make innovation an organic emergent property rather than a forced initiative. According to Oster (2011), the cycle of learning, knowledge sharing, and collaboration seen in learning organizations inevitably fosters innovation at all levels. Innovation is not a separate activity, but rather an integral part of being a learning organization.

Fostering Creativity

Learning organizations rely on continuous innovation, which requires harnessing the creativity of their people to generate novel solutions and ideas (Amabile, 1998). As Davila et al. (2006) highlight, actively nurturing creative thinking and enabling idea exploration are vital to innovation output. Learning organizations foster creativity through a work environment with psychological safety, support for risk-taking, collaboration across diverse teams, and continuous learning (Edmondson, 1999). Leadership commitment to creativity by allocating time, resources and recognition has been found to be a key driver (Runco, 2004). Organizations must move beyond espoused rhetoric to tangibly demonstrate that creative efforts are valued and invested in. Researchers have proposed various strategies learning organizations can employ to stimulate creativity, including brainstorming techniques, design thinking principles, and leveraging external partnerships (Sloane, 2007 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Hargadon and Sutton (2000) described the role of "brokering" across different industries and disciplines to fuel ideation. Exploring adjacencies allows insights to be drawn from diverse fields. By

making creativity central to strategy and day-to-day operations, learning organizations can unleash innovation.

Enabling Adaptation

Innovation serves as a vital means through which learning organizations continuously adapt and renew themselves in dynamic business environments (Senge, 1990). Davila et al. (2009) emphasized that without deliberate innovation efforts, organizations risk losing relevance as the competitive landscape evolves. Innovation enables learning organizations to identify new growth opportunities and reposition themselves to deliver emerging value propositions that satisfy changing customer demands (Drucker, 1985 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Staying attuned to shifts in the external environment and marketplace allows learning organizations to proactively ideate the innovations needed to realign their capabilities and strategies with external realities (Hamel & Välikangas, 2003). By maintaining agility in innovating how they operate and provide value, learning organizations can turn change into opportunity.

Driving Competitiveness

Learning organizations leverage innovation to sustain competitive advantage and differentiate themselves in the marketplace (Hamel, 2002). Launching disruptive innovations allows learning organizations to continuously reach new performance frontiers that outpace competitors (Christensen, 1997). Even incremental innovations contribute to sustaining an edge through cumulative enhancements over time. Researchers have highlighted the role of "dynamic capabilities" in sensing opportunities, seizing them through new innovations, and continued renewal (Teece, Pisano & Shuen, 1997). As competition intensifies, learning organizations must foster innovation to retain their competitive vitality.

Promoting Growth

By identifying new avenues for value delivery, learning organizations rely on innovation to fuel sustained growth and avoid stagnation (Hesselbein & Johnston, 2002). Launching transformative new products, services or business models provides new sources of revenue and expands market reach. Organizations face a tension between harvesting existing businesses and seeding future ones (O'Reilly & Tushman, 2013). Learning organizations aim to simultaneously exploit current capabilities while pioneering exploratory innovation that propels new growth (Andriopoulos & Lewis, 2009). Innovation enables learning organizations to avoid growth plateaus.

Overcoming Resistance

Innovation helps learning organizations proactively overcome inertia, resistance to change, and institutionalized mindsets that can obstruct needed evolution (Hamel, 2002). Radical innovations can prompt action on difficult changes that incremental steps struggle to foster, such as moving to a digital business model (Tellis, 2006). By compelling people to think differently about what is possible, innovation provides momentum to overcome barriers. As Beckman (2006) described, innovation disrupts existing social networks and power dynamics that reinforce status quo thinking. Learning organizations can leverage innovation to shape new mental models and behaviors.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FOSTERING INNOVATION

To foster innovation, organizations can provide resources for R&D, encourage collaboration across departments, reward innovative ideas, allow time for creativity, develop employee skills, hire innovators, create cross-functional innovation teams, actively scan the environment for ideas, empower employees, and allow experimentation and tolerable failure (Oster, 2011). An innovation-supportive culture is also essential. Learning organizations can foster innovation through targeted strategies encompassing leadership, culture, resources and risk tolerance:

Senior leaders play a vital role in cultivating innovation by clearly communicating its strategic priority, allocating resources to experimentation, and role modelling creative behaviours (Hamel, 2002). As Sloane (2007) highlighted, leaders must move from rhetoric to tangible actions that demonstrate commitment to innovation. Providing visible reinforcement for creative efforts rather than rigid control sends a powerful cultural signal (Somech & Drach-Zahavy, 2013). Leaders should act as coaches and sponsors enabling exploratory work rather than critics.

Cross-functional collaboration, diverse teams, and internal/external networks help drive innovation by enabling different perspectives to synthesize (Hamel, 2002). A siloed organization restricts idea flows. Learning organizations should foster connective tissue across boundaries through job rotations, open forums for sharing ideas, and leveraging partnerships (Powell et al., 1996). Collaboration enhances the diversity of thought needed for breakthroughs. Creating a learning culture focused on continuous skills development and education fosters the human capital required for innovation (Davila et al., 2009). Training in creative techniques, exposure to diverse disciplines and industries, and ongoing mentorship renew capabilities over time. A strong learning ethos signals that new thinking is expected and

valued. Psychological safety to engage in learning without fear of failure is critical (Edmondson, 1999).

Leaders must allocate funding, technology, human capital and time explicitly for experimenting with uncertain innovations and exploring adjacencies (Davila et al., 2009). Sheltering exploratory resources from short-term demands enables innovation. Many innovations require purposeful incubation with patient capital before demonstrating results.

Innovation inherently involves failures, iteration and uncertainty (Sloane, 2007). Learning organizations must create a culture that accepts prudent risks and does not penalize reasonable mistakes given the uncertain nature of innovation (Thomke, 2001). Leaders should assess intelligent failures not as weaknesses but as learning opportunities. This enables the risk-taking required for breakthroughs rather than incremental progress.

CONCLUSION

The article examined the critical strategic role that innovation plays within learning organizations in fostering creativity, enabling adaptation, driving competitiveness, and promoting growth. Innovation was defined as the introduction of new or improved products, processes, strategies, or capabilities that add value to an organization. The core characteristics of learning organizations were investigated, including adaptability, experimentation, collaboration, and continuous learning - attributes that both require and facilitate innovation. The various benefits of innovation were discussed, ranging from maintaining a competitive advantage to overcoming institutionalized resistance to change. However, in order to reap these benefits, learning organizations must adopt deliberate innovation-fostering strategies. Visible leadership commitment, cross-boundary collaboration, an enabling learning environment, allocating resources to experimentation, and tolerance for uncertainty and failure are among the recommended approaches. In increasingly complex and rapidly evolving environments, organizations must be able to innovate on a continuous basis in order to remain relevant and vital. Learning organizations serve as models for integrating innovation as a core competency into culture, leadership priorities, and day-to-day operations. While challenging, incorporating innovation into a learning organization's identity promotes continuous renewal, growth, and competitive dynamism. As organizational life cycles shorten, adopting strategies to promote innovation enables learning organizations to thrive.

REFERENCES

Amabile, T. M. (1998). *How to kill creativity* (Vol. 87). Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Publishing.

Andriopoulos, C., & Lewis, M. W. (2009). Exploitation-exploration tensions and organizational ambidexterity: Managing paradoxes of innovation. *Organization science*, *20*(4), 696-717. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1080.0406

Birkinshaw, J., Hamel, G., & Mol, M. J. (2008). Management innovation. *Academy of management Review*, *33*(4), 825-845. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2008.34421969

Bosch-Sijtsema, P., & Bosch, J. (2015). User involvement throughout the innovation process in high-tech industries. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, *32*(5), 793-807. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12233

Chan, K. C., & Li, J. (2008). Audit committee and firm value: Evidence on outside top executives as expert-independent directors. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, *16*(1), 16-31. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2008.00662.x

Chimakati, F. M. (2023). Establishment Structural Dimension Design, Exigency Variables, And Challenges Mitigation in Kenya. *African Journal of Emerging Issues*, *5*(9), 21-38.

Davila, A., Foster, G., & Li, M. (2009). Reasons for management control systems adoption: Insights from product development systems choice by early-stage entrepreneurial companies. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, *34*(3-4), 322-347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2008.08.002

Drucker, P. F. (1985). Entrepreneurial strategies. *California Management Review*, *27*(2). https://doi.org/10.2307/41165126

Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative science quarterly*, *44*(2), 350-383. https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999

Gurteen, D. (1998). Knowledge, creativity and innovation. *Journal of knowledge Management*, *2*(1), 5-13. https://doi.org/10.1108/13673279810800744

Hamel, G., & Valikangas, L. (2003). Why resilience matters. *Harvard Business Review*, *81*(9), 56-57.

Hargadon, A., & Sutton, R. I. (2000). Building an innovation factory. *Harvard business review*, *78*(3), 157-157.

Hesselbein, F., & Johnston, R. (2002). On creativity, innovation, and renewal: a leader to leader guide. *(No Title)*.

Lengnick-Hall, C. A., & Beck, T. E. (2016). Resilience capacity and strategic agility: Prerequisites for thriving in a dynamic environment. In *Resilience Engineering Perspectives, Volume 2* (pp. 61-92). CRC Press.

Markides, C. (2006). Disruptive innovation: In need of better theory. *Journal of product innovation management*, *23*(1), 19-25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2005.00177.x

O'Reilly III, C. A., & Tushman, M. L. (2013). Organizational ambidexterity: Past, present, and future. *Academy of management Perspectives*, *27*(4), 324-338. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2013.0025

Ostrom, A. L., Parasuraman, A., Bowen, D. E., Patrício, L., & Voss, C. A. (2015). Service research priorities in a rapidly changing context. *Journal of service research*, *18*(2), 127-159. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670515576315

Pedler, M., Boydell, T., & Burgoyne, J. (1989). The learning companies. *Studies in continuing education*, *11*(2), 91-101. https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037890110201

Porter, M. E. (1985). Technology and competitive advantage. *Journal of business strategy*, *5*(3), 60-78. https://doi.org/10.1108/eb039075

Powell, W. W., Koput, K. W., & Smith-Doerr, L. (1996). Interorganizational collaboration and the locus of innovation: Networks of learning in biotechnology. *Administrative science quarterly*, 116-145. https://doi.org/10.2307/2393988

Runco, M. A. (2004). Everyone has creative potential.

Senge, P. M. (1990). The art and practice of the learning organization.

Sloane, N. J. (2007). The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences. In *Towards Mechanized Mathematical Assistants: 14th Symposium, Calculemus 2007, 6th International Conference, MKM 2007, Hagenberg, Austria, June 27-30, 2007. Proceedings* (pp. 130-130). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Sloane, P. (2007). *The innovative leader: How to inspire your team and drive creativity*. Kogan page publishers.

Smith, M., Busi, M., Ball, P., & Van Der Meer, R. (2008). Factors influencing an organisation's ability to manage innovation: a structured literature review and conceptual model. *International Journal of innovation management*, *12*(04), 655-676. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919608002138

Somech, A., & Drach-Zahavy, A. (2013). Translating team creativity to innovation implementation: The role of team composition and climate for innovation. *Journal of management*, *39*(3), 684-708. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310394187

Tellis, G. J. (2006). Disruptive technology or visionary leadership? *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, *23*(1), 34-38. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2005.00179.x

Thomke, S. (2001). Enlightened experimentation. The new imperative for innovation. *Harvard business review*, *79*(2), 66-75.