African Journal of Emerging Issues

African Journal of Emerging Issues (AJOEI)
Online ISSN: 2663 - 9335
Available at: https://ajoeijournals.org

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

EVALUATING CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND STAKEHOLDER RIGHTS: A CASE STUDY OF SAFARICOM

Chimakati Fredrick Mutsoli

PhD Student, Pan Africa Christian University

Email of the Corresponding Author: freddiechi@gmail.com

Publication Date: February 2024

ABSTRACT

Purpose of the Study: This study explores corporate governance practices at Safaricom PLC to determine adherence to principles of accountability, transparency, fairness, and compliance.

Methodology: A case study analysis of Safaricom's governance in terms of board structure, executive compensation, reporting integrity, shareholder rights, and stakeholder orientation.

Findings: The findings support Safaricom's use of governance codes and frameworks to encourage stakeholder participation, aligned incentives, risk oversight, and disclosures. The board has enough independent directors, incentives prioritize long-term value, reports ensure accountability, and shareholder engagement follows equitable standards.

Conclusion: While Safaricom demonstrates significant governance best practices, the study suggests it can strengthen governance further to position itself for long-term innovation leadership.

Recommendations: As stakeholders like institutional investors and civil society prioritize environmental/social issues amidst disruption, Safaricom should promote accountability, foresight and inclusive prosperity through effective governance.

Keywords: *Corporate Governance, Principles, Stakeholder Rights, Safaricom*

INTRODUCTION

Corporate governance has grown in importance in recent decades, with regulators, investors, businesses, and the academic community all paying close attention (OECD, 2015; Solomon, 2013). This increased focus is largely due to high-profile scandals and financial crises, which have prompted a rethinking of governance frameworks. According to Solomon (2013), lapses in oversight, a lack of transparency, unmanaged risks, and poor accountability have all shown the serious consequences of poor governance. Indeed, the 2008 global financial crisis highlighted deficiencies in risk management, remuneration policies, and board practices as underlying causes (Kirkpatrick, 2009, cited by Chimakati, 2023). Similarly, scandals at major corporations such as Enron demonstrated how inadequate internal controls and conflicts of interest resulted in disastrous outcomes for stakeholders (Steinberg et al., 2011).

These events and trends demonstrate that, while corporate governance has always been important, it is even more important now than ever. Claessens and Yurtoglu (2013) argue that good corporate governance encourages firms to use resources more efficiently, attract investment, improve performance, and contribute to overall economic health. Governance concerns are increasingly important in investment decisions for publicly traded companies (Solomon, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2023). According to research, governance has a significant impact on the cost of capital, valuation metrics, stock performance, and credit ratings (Black et al. 2016). Regulators have also emphasized reforms to improve board oversight, risk management, and market transparency (Howell & Sorour, 2016). This focus has permeated all types and sizes of modern organizations, as governance issues affect listed, unlisted, family-owned, state-owned, and hybrid businesses (Solomon, 2020).

While corporate governance codes and guidelines have been around for decades, the pace and scope of reforms have increased significantly since the early 2000s (Arcot et al., 2010, as cited by Chimakati, 2023). These developments reflect more intricate relationships between companies and investors, as ownership structures become more dispersed and complex (Gillan & Starks, 2003). Rapid innovation and globalization also put pressure on businesses to implement governance systems that promote ethical and sustainable growth (Eccles & Youmans, 2016, cited by Chimakati, 2023). As a result, policy discussions about board composition, remuneration incentives, risk appetite, succession planning, and stakeholder inclusion have grown significantly.

The expanding governance landscape includes not only shareholder returns and financial performance, but also environmental, social, and human capital factors (Schwartz, 2013, as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Climate change, diversity, human rights, and income inequality have raised expectations for corporations' transparency and corporate citizenship. This is linked to competitive imperatives, as Khan et al. (2013) discovered that companies with strong environmental, social, and governance (ESG) credentials typically achieve higher valuations and consumer trust. While debates continue over whether governance should prioritize shareholder or stakeholder interests, balancing these concerns has emerged as a critical skill for modern boards (Howell & Sorour, 2016, as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Lastly, no single model can be applied consistently across different legal and cultural contexts. However, cultivating long-term stewardship through accountability and engagement with internal and external stakeholders is a universal governance priority (OECD, 2015).

Therefore, there is widespread agreement that corporate governance is becoming increasingly important as a result of paradigm-shifting crises, more competitive capital markets, and changing stakeholder expectations (Rossouw, 2009, as cited by Chimakati, 2023). According to the OECD (2015), resilience and renewed public trust rely on effective governance frameworks that prioritize accountability, transparency, and integrity across business activities and structures.

PRINCIPLES OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate governance frameworks across various models and jurisdictions fundamentally rest on four key principles - transparency and disclosure, accountability, fairness and equity, and responsibility and compliance.

Transparency and Disclosure

Transparency and sufficient disclosure are fundamental principles of governance, as they mitigate the imbalance of information between management and stakeholders (Bhasin, 2016 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). By providing accessible and pertinent information on operational and financial achievements, financial framework, potential hazards, policies, and choices, companies establish essential trust and responsibility (OECD, 2015). Barth and Schipper (2008), as referenced by Chimakati (2023), provide empirical evidence that increased transparency in financial reporting is associated with a decrease in stock price fluctuations and the cost of capital. Disclosure encompasses both obligatory regulatory filings and optional communication regarding strategy, sustainability, talent, and governance (Eccles et al., 2014). Transparent measures that are clear, accurate, and timely facilitate productive dialogue and participation, thereby preventing potential scandals arising from hidden practices.

Accountability

Clear systems of accountability are crucial for effective governance. These systems ensure that corporations and leaders are held responsible for their performance outcomes (Keasey et al., 2005 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). According to Chan and Li (2008) as cited by Chimakati (2023), this encompasses operational, managerial, and policy domains. Established reporting hierarchies, quantifiable performance indicators, audits, and consequences for shortcomings enhance efficient supervision and decision-making (Howell & Sorour, 2016). The presence of independent boards, active committees, and the separation of chair and CEO roles serve as fundamental mechanisms for ensuring accountability (Aguilera et al., 2015 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Through the incorporation of checks and balances throughout the organization, companies can effectively guide their strategic direction while preventing any conflicts of interest or negligence.

Fairness and Equity

Governance frameworks must achieve equitable treatment of various stakeholders, encompassing minority shareholders, employees, customers, and communities (Arcot & Bruno, 2011). The safeguarding of rights constitutes a fundamental principle. Equity goes beyond basic legal obligations and includes providing inclusive access to participation, ensuring equal opportunities for success, and implementing fair procedures for addressing grievances (OECD, 2004 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Claessens and Ueda (2008) assert that promoting collective priorities through governance facilitates innovation, shared value, and sustainable development. Incorporating a variety of perspectives improves the process of making decisions and determining priorities, while fair systems of rewards encourage individuals to behave in a manner that follows the rules (Cornforth, 2004 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Responsibility and Compliance

Governance requires responsible leadership and integrity across business activities, with compliance serving as the basic threshold (Hart, 1995 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Beyond formal regulations governing reporting, operations, risk and tax, this principle encapsulates organizational culture and informal norms that shape conduct (Solomon, 2013). Monitoring mechanisms should reinforce responsible behavior while allowing for sufficient freedoms to enable competitiveness and agility (Aggarwal, 2013 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). As Singh (2003) describes, truly embedded integrity-based approaches influence strategy formulation itself rather than solely constrain actions.

APPLICABILITY IN CONTEMPORARY ORGANIZATIONS

The case for strong governance applies compellingly to today's corporations given the tangible benefits observed regarding financial performance, stakeholder confidence, risk management and sustainable growth.

Improved Financial Performance and Access to Capital

Significant study confirms the connections between governance and financial performance, providing solid reasons for implementation. The findings of Gompers et al. (2003) indicate that companies with excellent governance experienced significantly greater profits, sales growth, and productivity metrics. Dalton and Dalton (2011) propose that well-governed firms demonstrate more stringent cost controls, increased revenues resulting from customer and partner trust, as well as enhanced access to capital and investment due to diminished perceived risks. The McKinsey analysis provides further evidence that companies with top-quartile governance scores generate returns to shareholders that are more than five times higher in the long run (Barton et al., 2017 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). The addition of governance quality as a specific evaluation criterion for asset managers and funds has had an impact on pricing and demand, as evidenced by Aggarwal et al. (2009) as cited by Chimakati (2023).

Stronger Stakeholder Confidence and Brand Reputation

Modern stakeholders, including investors, employees, and consumers, strongly prefer companies that are known for being transparent, having integrity, and having a clear purpose. These qualities are considered essential for good governance (Ioannou & Serafeim, 2012 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). According to Smith (2011), the ratings provided by governance watchdogs have a direct influence on consumer purchasing preferences. Similarly, according to the analysis conducted by Jo & Harjoto (2011), it has been found that well-respected codes of conduct and initiatives related to social responsibility, which both rely on strong governance, result in a better corporate brand image and increased value. In today's highly interconnected markets, where information flows and scrutiny are extensive, firms are unable to hide poor governance for long. Therefore, adopting accountability and ethical practices offers competitive advantages.

Proactive Risk Management

The focus on risk management in modern governance is also a result of the firsthand experience of the negative outcomes that arise from inadequate supervision, controls, and organizational culture. Integrating enterprise risk management with strategy and operations provides boards with valuable insights to effectively navigate through uncertain and complex situations (Gates et al., 2012 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Forecasting models utilize governance data to anticipate the likelihood of financial distress and failure, thereby facilitating timely intervention (Daily & Dalton, 1994). These techniques are essential for maintaining stakeholder trust during crises and in the long run.

Sustainable Growth

Effective governance that prioritizes sustainability and long-term results promotes the generation of value across various resources, benefiting both shareholders and society (Eccles & Youmans, 2017 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Bartkus and Glassman (2008) argue that placing importance on stakeholder participation and transparency serves as a safeguard against excessive short-term focus. Consequently, guidelines are now including the environment, community participants, and future generations as separate stakeholders. The connected innovation and ability to adapt make such governance essential for ongoing relevance and prosperity.

STAKEHOLDERS IN SAFARICOM COMPANY

Safaricom PLC (Safaricom) is a leading telecommunications company in Kenya with a growing regional presence. As a public company listed on the Nairobi Securities Exchange, Safaricom has a broad range of stakeholders beyond just shareholders who have vested interests in its corporate governance and performance (Etieyibo, 2021 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Identifying and balancing the needs of different constituents is an integral governance responsibility highlighted across regulatory guidelines and academic discourse (OECD, 2015; Solomon, 2013 both as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Shareholders

As owners who invest capital, shareholders represent central stakeholders who provide oversight and decision-making rights regarding corporate strategy and leadership (Mallin, 2021 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Safaricom shareholders encompass over 40 institutional investors and 560,000 retail investors, predominantly Kenyan citizens (Safaricom, 2022). As Residual claimants to firm profits, shareholders carry risk for poor performance but also gain from value creation (Kaczmarek et al., 2012 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Hence governance mechanisms like board representation, voting privileges, financial transparency and equitable treatment safeguard their interests (Renders & Gaeremynck, 2012 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Employees

Human capital constitutes a vital resource for knowledge-based firms, rendering employees' fundamental stakeholders (Blair, 1995 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Safaricom maintains a large workforce of over 5,300 full-time staff across East Africa (Safaricom, 2022). As core contributors to operational outcomes, employees merit governance focus on fair compensation, safe working conditions, development opportunities and constructive labor relations (Greenwood, 2002 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Research affirms that positive employee governance promotes retention, innovation and customer service - creating both societal and shareholder value (Edmans, 2012 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Customers

Customers represent the primary revenue source in Safaricom's business model, underlining their pivotal stakeholder status (Schlierer et al., 2012 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Safaricom holds over 41 million mobile subscribers plus extensive corporate clients (Safaricom, 2022). Customers exchange economic resources for expected value from products and services. Therefore, obligations exist to assure satisfactory quality, security, privacy and ethical treatment through marketing, contracting and engagement initiatives (Harrison & Wicks, 2013 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Maintaining customer trust enables sustainable value creation (Bridoux & Stoelhorst, 2014 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Suppliers and Distributors

The complex telecom supply chain entails network equipment manufacturers, distributors, dealers and business process outsourcing vendors. Suppliers support Safaricom operations through reliable provision of high-quality inputs hence warrant fair partnerships (Vurro et al., 2009 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Contracting transparency, timely payments and shared growth allow businesses to appropriately value contributions and risks in collaborative value creation (Sodhi & Tang, 2014 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Such equitable supplier governance also enables innovation and responsible sourcing (Govindan et al., 2014 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Community

As a business deriving license to operate from society, Safaricom bears important governance duties towards communities regarding economic opportunity, environmental protection and social justice (Matten & Crane, 2005 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Safaricom initiatives encompass substantial infrastructure investment, job creation, mobile financial inclusion, healthcare access and youth development programs (Safaricom, 2020). Stakeholder theory suggests such community governance builds reputational advantages and local ecosystem health for long-term sustainability (Freeman, 2001 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Government

Government institutions grant corporate and spectrum licenses enabling Safaricom operations, constituting notable stakeholders (Etieyibo, 2021). Regulations also govern industry structure, rates, service standards and business conduct obligations in line with national development priorities (CAK, 2020 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Accordingly, governance must stress legal and regulatory compliance along with constructive policy dialogue and collaboration to balance public-private interests (Doh et al., 2010 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Shared value relies on positive institutional relationships and governance leadership (Porter & Kramer, 2011 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Therefore, Safaricom maintains extensive stakeholders beyond shareholders alone, based on participative, economic or moral relationships. Corporate governance mechanisms must account for these multifaceted interests to assure socially legitimate operations able to sustain long-term value creation.

RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

Shareholders

Shareholders, as partial owners of the corporation, maintain fundamental governance rights pertaining to board representation, voting privileges, access to information, and involvement in significant decisions (Shleifer & Vishny, 1997 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Safaricom adheres to the principle of "one share, one vote" for eligible matters and ensures fair treatment for minority investors (Safaricom, 2022). Financial statements, annual reports, and event disclosures offer clarity and insight into the performance and operations of a company (Solomon, 2013 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). These rights require corporations to have corresponding responsibilities in terms of being accountable, transparent, and ensuring fair processes (Claessens & Yurtoglu, 2013 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Employees

Employee governance rights center on fair and safe working conditions per labor regulations and collective agreements (Asamoah et al., 2019 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). As a UN Global Compact signatory, Safaricom commits to ILO core labor standards regarding wages, overtime, leave, non-discrimination and freedom of association (Safaricom, 2020; ILO 2020 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Accordingly, human resource governance priorities encompass competitive pay, healthcare, training, workplace security and work-life balance programs that attract and retain talent (Oguejiofor & Ebioku, 2013 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Consumers

Key customer rights with corresponding corporate obligations include quality assurance, privacy protections and recourse mechanisms (Harrison & Wicks, 2013 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). As network integrity and data security constitute competitive differentiators in telecommunications, Safaricom invests heavily in its robust ISO-certified quality management system (CAK, 2020 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Its customer charter also upholds brand commitments regarding support channels, complaint resolution and store experience standards (Safaricom, 2022). Responsible marketing policies further assure accurate product information and inclusion (Nölke, 2018 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Suppliers & Distributors

Equitable contracting represents a fundamental right for suppliers, distributors and dealers within acceptable profitability, predictable terms and transparency (Sauer & Seuring, 2018 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Safaricom instituted a code of ethics obligating procurement practices to assure fair competition without coercion or discrimination per U.N convention principles (Safaricom, 2020; UNGC 2012 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Suppliers also require on-time payments and responsible order forecasts enabling them to plan capacities for mutual success (Govindan et al., 2014 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Communities

As corporate citizenship obligations, Safaricom commits resources to community education, health, environmental sustainability and economic inclusion initiatives (Jackson & Apostolakou, 2010 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Over $380 million invested in the M-Pesa Foundation and indirect impact from platforms like M-Shwari evidence such responsibilities (Safaricom, 2020). Rights derivative of stakeholder standing include open communication channels along with economic and social justice (Greenwood, 2002 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Government

The main responsibilities of the government as the custodian of the industry and licensor of businesses are centered on collecting taxes to finance public spending and enforcing sector regulations to ensure high standards, fair competition, and alignment with national priorities (CAK, 2015 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Safaricom adheres to the regulations pertaining to telecommunications, consumer protection, labor, competition, environmental management, and financial reporting, which are enforced by agencies such as CAK and CMA Kenya (Safaricom, 2020). Effective collaborations between the public and private sectors also depend on corporate governance leadership that coordinates private innovation with development objectives (Valente & Crane, 2010 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Ultimately, ensuring sustainable corporate performance requires effectively managing the responsibilities towards shareholders, employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and regulators, which is a multifaceted yet crucial aspect of governance. Implementing additional strategies to consistently involve stakeholders will enhance Safaricom's position as an ethical frontrunner.

ANALYSIS OF SAFARICOM COMPANY'S CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Safaricom, Kenya's leading digital infrastructure provider, prioritizes governance that promotes sustainable development through transparency, inclusion, and shared prosperity (Schachter, 2019). Safaricom, which has a market capitalization of more than $15 billion, is controlled by 40 institutional investors and Kenyan citizens. According to the Communications Authority of Kenya (2020), as cited by Chimakati (2023), it dominates the sector with a subscriber share of more than 63%. Safaricom is regarded as a leading example of responsible capitalism, prioritizing the well-being of its stakeholders, as noted by Edmans (2021) and cited by Chimakati (2023). Given the firm's significant economic impact, it is critical to analyze its governance capabilities to determine its ethical behavior, risk management practices, innovation direction, and stakeholder empowerment. This analysis will assist in identifying the responsible leadership required for Kenya's digital transformation, as discussed by Valente and Crane (2010) and cited by Chimakati (2023). According to Chimakati (2023), policymakers in emerging economies face challenges in managing financial returns, technology access, data privacy, and climate impact.

Darcy (2021) suggests that governance insights from successful regional corporations can be useful models. This section will assess Safaricom's governance readiness to effectively navigate its platform's expansion in the face of evolving disruptive risks, as identified by forward-thinking analysts such as Sang (2021) and cited by Chimakati (2023). The evaluation focused on critical issues such as board diversity, executive incentives, transparency policies, shareholder engagement, and the company's commitment to human rights and the circular economy. The framework is based on the principles outlined in the United Nations' SDG Blueprint (2015), which emphasizes the importance of multi-stakeholder partnerships and governance innovation for sustainable development. The research foundations have analyzed and supported the following key dimensions.

Board Composition and Independence

Safaricom maintains a unitary board structure comprising 8 non-executive directors and 2 executive directors appointed at the Annual General Meeting (Safaricom, 2022). This balances internal oversight with experienced independent perspective recommended by governance codes (CMA Kenya, 2015 as cited by Chimakati 2023). Independent directors chair all board committees assuring accountability regarding audit, nominations, remuneration and sustainability topics (Bolton et al., 2022 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Committee structures and charters reinforce specialized focus upholding reporting quality, risk management and resource optimization (Saidi & Omar, 2014 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

The board merits praise for diversity across gender, expertise and regional representation - associated with superior governance outcomes by Broome & Krawiec (2008) as cited by Chimakati (2023). However, only 1 director is below age 55, signaling need for further infusion of youth-linked innovation and digital economy insights per Han (2020) as cited by Chimakati (2023). Role separation between Chairman and CEO also promotes board independence protecting against excess consolidation of authority (Krause et al., 2014 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Executive Compensation

As a central governance mechanism aligning managerial incentives with shareholder interests, Safaricom's remuneration policy caps salaries at competitive market rates subject to annual advisory votes under Kenya's stewardship code (CMA Kenya, 2019 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). The CEO pay ratio stands at 1:86, far lower than extremes exceeding 1:300 in some Western MNCs critiqued by Chen et al. (2021) as cited by Chimakati (2023). Long-term incentives via bonus shares also drive sustainable value creation and retention priorities as modeled by Gande (2021) as cited by Chimakati (2023). However, metrics under the short-term incentive scheme emphasize service revenue, EBITDA margin and net promoter score, warranting integration of additional multi-stakeholder metrics like customer satisfaction, responsible innovation and environmental sustainability guided by governance research on shared value by Porter and Kramer (2011) as cited by Chimakati (2023).

Auditing and Financial Reporting

In line with accounting law obligations, shareholders appoint external auditor PricewaterhouseCoopers annually to assure integrity of financial statements and internal controls (Wanjiru, 2022 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Safaricom upholds IFRS accounting standards verified through ISO certifications enabling transparent performance analysis by investors and regulators (Muchaonyerwa, 2015 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). A robust investor relations program covering earnings announcements, Annual General Meetings, factory tours and communication channels evidence shareholder transparency and dialogue commitments (Safaricom, 2020). Third party ESG audits could elevate operational transparency and ethical accountability levels further as advised by case research from Yamahaki & Frynas (2016) as cited by Chimakati (2023). Mandating fully integrated financial and sustainability reporting would also signal governance leadership on long-term value creation across financial and non-financial capitals by Simnett & Huggins (2015) as cited by Chimakati (2023).

Shareholder Stewardship

Shareholder participation rates in Annual General Meetings consistently exceed 80% affirming ownership accountability (Safaricom, 2020). Safaricom also constituted Kenya's first Stapled Security Structure consolidating shareholder rights and empowering co-control by partners such as Vodafone Group and the Kenyan Government (CAK, 2020 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Shareholders enjoy preemptive rights protections regarding new share issuances and seat representation proposals upholding equitable treatment (CMA Kenya, 2020 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). However, retail investors have campaigned for higher dividend pay-outs rather than re-investment rates near 80%, igniting governance debates regarding short-term returns versus long-term synergies by Jain et al., (2021) as cited by Chimakati (2023). Boosting retail participation in shareholder forums could enhance trust and progressive perspectives (Mui et al., 2016 as cited by Chimakati, 2023).

Stakeholder Orientation

Safaricom's pioneering sustainability frameworks evidence strong strategic governance embrace of environmental, community and economic inclusion imperatives fundamental for telecommunications sector leadership in light of analysis by Hasnas (2012) as cited by Chimakati (2023). The Sustainable Business Report discloses detailed carbon accounting, gender policies, digital rights controls and supply chain audits instituted in accountability to global standards including the UNGC, GRI and SASB (Safaricom, 2020). Diverse stakeholder engagement mechanisms also promote participative priority setting while social investment committees guide impact assessment (Verbeke & Tung, 2013 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). However, governance challenges highlighted by recent employee discrimination lawsuits reveal need for bolstered human capital development commitments through formal human rights policies and grievance mechanisms guided by UN guiding principles by (Balzarova & Castka, 2012 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Prioritizing circular economy principles could also steward greater eco-innovation and product stewardship obligations noted crucial for sustainable ICT sector leadership (Ongondo & Williams, 2011 as cited by Chimakati, 2023). In summary, while Safaricom demonstrates meaningful adoption of corporate governance best practices in the areas analyzed, further enhancements around board dynamism, incentive alignment, stakeholder empowerment and non-financial transparency would benefit resilience and leadership.

CONCLUSION

The study finds that corporate governance is a critical strategic priority that directly supports organizational resilience, long-term performance, and renewed public trust. The fundamental principles of accountability, transparency, integrity, and stakeholder inclusion serve as an ethical foundation for long-term value creation across multiple capitals: financial, social, human, and ecological. While there is ongoing debate about optimizing shareholder returns versus balancing broader constituencies, collective wellbeing is dependent on governance policies that harness innovation for societal priorities. As demonstrated in the Safaricom case, implementing guidelines that promote rights and participation, aligned executive incentives, risk oversight, and non-financial disclosures fosters long-term trust among investors, employees, and communities. Safaricom's governance efforts have resulted in strong market valuation, capital access, talented workforces, vibrant supply chains, and pioneering mobile infrastructures that have increased financial access and empowerment.

However, keeping up with the rapid pace of technological disruption and rising citizen expectations for sustainable development necessitates an unwavering focus on dynamism, agility, and future-readiness in governance. Safaricom demonstrates meaningful best practices, but it must improve board independence, executive metrics on ethics and the environment, reporting integration, human rights accountability, and stakeholder inclusion in circular innovation. This analysis's findings support the broad consensus that corporate governance is more important than ever in the face of paradigm-shifting crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic, climate change, inequality, and geopolitical conflicts. As corporations become more globally interconnected and wield greater societal influence, it is critical to embed integrity and stewardship throughout internal structures and external ecosystems.

Governance facilitates the transparency, foresight, and mobilization required for collective action on urgent issues. Furthermore, competitive positioning is increasingly based on a reputation for purpose and sustainability. Sound governance serves as the foundation for stakeholders ranging from shareholders to employees, customers, suppliers, and communities who value justice, equality, and empowerment. As a result, both research and practical metrics confirm that governance is essential for superior financial results, stability in the face of uncertainty, innovation for inclusive development, and the ability to operate in hyper-connected societies. Renewing social contracts is dependent on governance capabilities that encourage ethical business models that advance economic and human development. The stakes are no less than public trust, prosperity, and peace in the coming decades.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The study reveals a need to strengthen Safaricom's board independence and dynamism to drive innovation, provide strong oversight on ethics and risk, and represent strategic governance leadership commensurate with the company's industry dominance. Specifically, the board should accelerate refreshment shifts to reduce average tenure and age, while also leveraging digitization expertise from new entrants and leading technology companies. Beyond diversity, recruiting innovative thinkers who are aware of disruptive advances in cloud computing, blockchain, artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and renewable energy will be critical. Committees must also include this expertise to help guide management. Annual evaluation processes should assess innovation-related contributions. The distinction between Chairman and CEO roles, as well as the requirement for independent directors on committees, should be retained. However, lead directors should be authorized to hire external advisors to provide an unbiased perspective on controversies. Expanding interactions with major shareholders will increase governance accountability.

While Safaricom follows best practices in financial, sustainability, and integrated reporting, transparency is critical for brand equity and the ability to operate in the face of rapid technological change. Priorities include expanding quarterly reporting beyond the minimum half-yearly requirement to align with global industry norms and investor expectations. Mandating fully integrated financial and ESG audits on an annual basis will demonstrate commitments to both ethical accountability and commercial success. A dedicated stakeholder committee on the board could establish participation mechanisms for collecting community feedback on a regular basis, allowing for authentic transparency based on societal needs. Routine disclosures of tax strategies and political lobbying, as well as CEO pay ratios that compare living wages, will demonstrate leadership in equitable growth.

Safaricom's extensive de facto stakeholders, in addition to shareholders, deserve governance recognition in order to promote long-term innovation and prosperity. The first step is to form a stakeholder advisory panel comprised of representatives from key constituencies such as civil society, multilateral organizations, technologists, human rights experts, and cultural leaders. This existing capacity for pluralistic dialogue, mutual learning, and co-creation will boost resilience. Second, executive incentive plans must include multifaceted metrics that assess customer satisfaction, as well as targets for responsible consumption, circular resource use, and digital inclusion. Third-party social audits will ensure accountability. Additionally, a community empathy program for senior leaders should be implemented for ongoing immersions. Building a creative understanding of lived experiences throughout Kenyan society ensures that decisions are based on actual developmental needs rather than superficial assumptions and stereotypes. Therefore, the recommendations aim to strengthen strategic governance capabilities for innovation, transparency, and collective empowerment, which are required to sustainably lead Kenya's ongoing technological transformations through the inevitable volatility that lies ahead.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, V., Jiang, W., Tang, Y., & Yang, B. (2013). Uncovering hedge fund skill from the portfolio holdings they hide. *The Journal of Finance*, *68*(2), 739-783. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12012

Aguilera, R. V., Desender, K., Bednar, M. K., & Lee, J. H. (2015). Connecting the dots: Bringing external corporate governance into the corporate governance puzzle. *Academy of Management Annals*, *9*(1), 483-573. https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2015.1024503

Arcot, S., Bruno, V., & Faure-Grimaud, A. (2010). Corporate governance in the UK: Is the comply or explain approach working? *International Review of Law and Economics*, *30*(2), 193-201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irle.2010.03.002

Asamoah, L. A., Mensah, E. K., & Bondzie, E. A. (2019). Trade openness, FDI and economic growth in sub-Saharan Africa: do institutions matter? *Transnational Corporations Review*, *11*(1), 65-79. https://doi.org/10.1080/19186444.2019.1578156

Balzarova, M. A., & Castka, P. (2012). Stakeholders' influence and contribution to social standards development: The case of multiple stakeholder approach to ISO 26000 development. *Journal of business ethics*, *111*, 265-279. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1206-9

Barth, M. E., & Schipper, K. (2008). Financial reporting transparency. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, *23*(2), 173-190. https://doi.org/10.1177/0148558X0802300203

Bartkus, B. R., & Glassman, M. (2008). Do firms practice what they preach? The relationship between mission statements and stakeholder management. *Journal of business ethics*, *83*, 207-216. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-007-9612-0

Chan, K. C., & Li, J. (2008). Audit committee and firm value: Evidence on outside top executives as expert-independent directors. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, *16*(1), 16-31. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2008.00662.x

Chimakati, F. M. (2023). Establishment Structural Dimension Design, Exigency Variables, And Challenges Mitigation in Kenya. *African Journal of Emerging Issues*, *5*(9), 21-38.

Chimakati, F. M., & Oduol, T. (2023). Authentic Leadership, Ethical Acquisition Procedures and Establishment Performance A Case of Teachers Service Commission of Kenya. *African Journal of Emerging Issues*, *5*(8), 107-132.

Claessens, S., & Ueda, K. (2008). Banks and labor as stakeholders: Impact on economic performance. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1278440

Claessens, S., & Yurtoglu, B. B. (2013). Corporate governance in emerging markets: A survey. *Emerging markets review*, *15*, 1-33.

Cornforth, C. (2004). The governance of cooperatives and mutual associations: A paradox perspective. *Annals of public and cooperative economics*, *75*(1), 11-32. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8292.2004.00241.x

Daily, C. M., & Dalton, D. R. (1994). Bankruptcy and corporate governance: The impact of board composition and structure. *Academy of Management journal*, *37*(6), 1603-1617. https://doi.org/10.2307/256801

Dalton, D. R., & Dalton, C. M. (2011). Integration of micro and macro studies in governance research: CEO duality, board composition, and financial performance. *Journal of management*, *37*(2), 404-411. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310373399

Doh, J. P., Howton, S. D., Howton, S. W., & Siegel, D. S. (2010). Does the market respond to an endorsement of social responsibility? The role of institutions, information, and legitimacy. *Journal of management*, *36*(6), 1461-1485. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309337896

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management science*, *60*(11), 2835-2857. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1984

Etieyibo, E. (2021). Why decolonization of the knowledge curriculum in Africa? *Africa Today*, *67*(4), 75-87. https://doi.org/10.2979/africatoday.67.4.05

Hart, O. (1995). Corporate governance: some theory and implications. *The economic journal*, *105*(430), 678-689. https://doi.org/10.2307/2235027

Hasnas, J. (2012). Reflections on corporate moral responsibility and the problem-solving technique of Alexander the Great. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *107*, 183-195. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-1032-5

Howell, K. E., & Sorour, M. K. (2016). *Corporate governance in Africa: assessing implementation and ethical perspectives*, London: Palgrave MacMillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-56700-0

Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2012). What drives corporate social performance? The role of nation-level institutions. *Journal of international business studies*, *43*, 834-864. https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2012.26

Keasey, K., Thompson, S., & Wright, M. (Eds.). (2005). *Corporate governance: accountability, enterprise and international comparisons*. John Wiley & Sons.

Khan, A., Muttakin, M. B., & Siddiqui, J. (2013). Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility disclosures: Evidence from an emerging economy. *Journal of business ethics*, *114*, 207-223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1336-0

Kirkpatrick, G. (2009). The corporate governance lessons from the financial crisis. *OECD Journal: Financial market trends*, *2009*(1), 61-87. https://doi.org/10.1787/fmt-v2009-art3-en

Matten, D., & Crane, A. (2005). Corporate citizenship: Toward an extended theoretical conceptualization. *Academy of Management review*, *30*(1), 166-179. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2005.15281448

OECD (2004). *Corporate governance: a survey of OECD countries*, Paris: OECD

Ongondo, F. O., & Williams, I. D. (2011). Greening academia: Use and disposal of mobile phones among university students. *Waste management*, *31*(7), 1617-1634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.01.031

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value: Redefining capitalism and the role of the corporation in society. *Harvard Business Review*, *89*(1/2), 62-77.

Rossouw, G. J. (2009). The ethics of corporate governance: Crucial distinctions for global comparisons. *International journal of law and management*, *51*(1), 5-9. https://doi.org/10.1108/17542430910936628

Schachter, K. (2019). The Digitalization of Development: Understanding the Role of Technology and Innovation in Development through a Case Study of Kenya and M-Pesa.

Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1997). A survey of corporate governance. *The journal of finance*, *52*(2), 737-783. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1997.tb04820.x

Smith, G. (2011). G7 to G8 to G20: Evolution in Global Governance.

Solomon, J. (2020). *Corporate governance and accountability*, *5th Edition*, New Jersey, N.J: Wiley

Steinberg, M.R, (2011*). Governance, Risk Management, and Compliance*, Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley and sons Inc.

Vurro, C., Russo, A., & Perrini, F. (2009). Shaping sustainable value chains: Network determinants of supply chain governance models. *Journal of business ethics*, *90*, 607-621. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0595-x

Wanjiru, K. R. (2022). Corporate Governance Practices and Performance of Kenya Forest Service in Nairobi City County.