African Journal of Emerging Issues
Peer reviewed | Double blind | Interdisciplinary

African Journal of Emerging Issues (AJOEI)
Online ISSN: 2663 - 9335
Available at: https://ajoeijournals.org

LAND ECONOMICS

EFFECT OF LAND OWNERSHIP DISPUTES ON REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT IN SYOKIMAU, KENYA: A META-ANALYTIC REVIEW OF EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Solomon Ndunda Kitundu[1*]
[1]School of Business & Economics, Zetech University,
P.O. Box 2768-00200, Nairobi, Kenya
ndundak@zetech.ac.ke

Publication Date: September 2026

ABSTRACT

Purpose of the study: This paper synthesizes empirical evidence on how land ownership disputes affect real estate development in Kenya, using the 2011 Syokimau demolitions as an illustrative empirical case.

Problem statement: Kenya's real estate sector has expanded rapidly along Nairobi's urban corridors, yet unresolved land tenure conflicts continue to trigger demolitions, stalled projects, and investor losses. Despite the 2010 Constitution and the land statutes of 2012, the literature on how disputes translate into development outcomes remains scattered across disciplines and has not been systematically synthesized for the Kenyan context.

Method/methodology: The study adopts a systematic narrative review, informed by the PRISMA 2020 reporting standards, synthesizing peer-reviewed and institutional literature on land disputes and property development in Kenya and comparable developing-country settings, complemented by a documentary case analysis of the Syokimau demolitions.

Results of the study: The synthesis shows that fraudulent and double allocation of titles, weak boundary enforcement, and slow adjudication are the dominant drivers of disputes affecting real estate, these disputes depress investor confidence, disrupt access to credit, delay project completion, and, in the Syokimau case, resulted in the demolition of roughly 600 homes and the denial of compensation to affected owners.

Conclusion and policy recommendation: Land ownership disputes exert a material and largely negative effect on real estate development in Kenya. The paper recommends accelerated digitization of land records, mandatory pre-development title verification, dispute fast-tracking through the Environment and Land Court, and statutory buyer-protection and compensation mechanisms.

Keywords: *land ownership disputes, real estate development, land tenure security, Syokimau, meta-analysis, Kenya*

1. INTRODUCTION

Land underpins nearly every real estate transaction in Kenya, and it is also the country's most litigated asset. Nairobi's rapid urban expansion since the early 2000s has pushed residential and commercial development into peri-urban corridors such as Syokimau, Ruaka, Ruiru, and Athi River, areas that were, until recently, agricultural or unregistered public land (Cytonn Investments, 2023). This expansion has occurred against a land administration system still recovering from a colonial-era registry, historical injustices documented by the Ndung'u Commission, and a legal framework overhauled only in 2010 (Government of Kenya, 2010; Manji, 2015). The result is a real estate market in which ownership can be genuinely uncertain even after a title deed has changed hands, rates have been paid, and a building has been completed.

The clearest illustration of this uncertainty is the November 2011 demolition of an estimated 600 homes in Syokimau, a middle-income estate near Jomo-Kenyatta International Airport (JKIA). Homeowners held title deeds issued by the Ministry of Lands and had paid rates to the Mavoko Municipal Council for years before bulldozers arrived (Mzalendo Trust, 2011). A subsequent parliamentary inquiry found that the land had been irregularly excised from Kenya Airports Authority (KAA) land through a 2001 survey and a forged 2010 gazette notice, and that three settlement schemes, Uungani, Jumbo, and Mlolongo Brothers, had sold plots they did not lawfully hold (Nation Media Group, 2023). A 2020 forensic audit ultimately recommended against compensating the affected owners, reasoning that payment would reward encroachment (Business Daily Africa, 2020). Syokimau therefore offers a documented, multi-year natural experiment in how a land ownership dispute can erase real estate investment even where buyers acted in apparent good faith.

This paper treats Syokimau as an empirical anchor for a broader question, across the growing body of Kenyan and comparable developing-country research, what effect do land ownership disputes have on real estate development? Rather than generate new primary survey data, the paper synthesizes existing empirical and legal-institutional literature using a systematic review approach, extracting and comparing findings on the channels through which disputes affect investment, financing, construction, and the eventual disposal or demolition of developed property.

2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Kenya's land reform agenda, anchored in the 2009 National Land Policy and Chapter Five of the 2010 Constitution, was designed to correct decades of maladministration by establishing the National Land Commission, digitizing records, and streamlining registration (Government of Kenya, 2009, 2010). More than a decade later, disputes continue to disrupt development, government sources and legal practitioners still report double allocation, boundary fraud, and forged documentation as routine features of the Nairobi property market (Boone, 2017). Existing Kenyan studies on land disputes, however, are concentrated in agricultural and rural settings, for example Muyanga and Gitau's (2013) work on smallholder crop choice and

Thiong'o et al.'s (2024) study of household livelihoods in Tharaka-Nithi County, leaving the specific pathways through which disputes affect urban real estate development comparatively under-synthesized. At the same time, high-profile urban cases such as Syokimau are well documented in media, legal, and audit sources but have rarely been placed alongside the broader empirical literature on tenure security and investment. This paper addresses that gap by systematically reviewing and synthesizing the available evidence, and by using Syokimau as a detailed case through which the mechanisms identified in the wider literature can be traced in a single, well-documented setting.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The general objective of this study is to synthesize empirical evidence on the effect of land ownership disputes on real estate development in Kenya, using the Syokimau case as an illustrative example. The specific objectives are to:

i. Synthesize existing empirical and institutional literature on the effect of land ownership disputes on real estate development in Kenya and comparable contexts.
ii. Identify the principal mechanisms through which land ownership disputes disrupt real estate investment, financing, construction, and project completion.
iii. Examine the Syokimau demolitions as an empirical case illustrating these mechanisms.
iv. Derive policy and practice recommendations for reducing the incidence and development impact of land ownership disputes.

4. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES/QUESTIONS

The review is guided by the following hypothesis and questions:

H1: Land ownership disputes have a statistically and practically significant negative effect on real estate development outcomes in Kenya.

RQ1: Through which mechanisms do land ownership disputes affect investment, financing, and completion of real estate projects?

RQ2: How does the Syokimau case illustrate or depart from patterns reported in the wider empirical literature?

RQ3: What institutional and policy responses are associated with reduced dispute incidence or impact?

5. THEORETICAL REVIEW/FRAMEWORK

The review draws on three complementary theoretical traditions. The first is property rights theory, most closely associated with De Soto (2000), which holds that formal, legally enforceable and transferable title converts land into usable capital, principally by allowing it to serve as loan collateral. Where ownership is contested, this collateral function collapses: lenders discount or refuse to finance disputed parcels, and developers face a heightened risk that capital invested in construction will be lost to demolition or eviction. The second is new institutional economics, particularly North's (1990) account of institutions as the 'rules of the game' that lower or raise transaction costs; a land registry compromised by weak boundary enforcement and susceptible to forged instruments raises the transaction costs of every subsequent sale, in effect taxing legitimate development. The third is the resource-conflict or 'honey pot' framework applied specifically to Kenyan land disputes by Thiong'o et al. (2024), building on Ovadia's (2013) work on elite rent-seeking, which explains disputes as a function of the value of the underlying resource: the more valuable the land, as is the case for airport-adjacent, infrastructure-linked, or rapidly urbanizing parcels, the greater the incentive for elite capture and fraudulent allocation. Read together, these three perspectives predict that land ownership disputes should depress real estate development most severely in exactly the high-value, rapidly appreciating corridors, such as Syokimau, where formal title matters most to investors and where the incentive for elite capture is also greatest.

6. EMPIRICAL REVIEW

Empirical evidence on land disputes and productive investment is more developed in agricultural than in urban real estate settings, but the underlying mechanisms translate closely across both. In Uganda, Mwesigye and Matsumoto (2014) found that land disputes reduced agricultural production on affected plots by approximately 17 percent, largely because landholders became reluctant to invest in improvements they might lose. In Ethiopia, Siyum et al. (2015) documented a similar pattern in which litigation costs diverted household resources away from productive investment. Thiong'o et al. (2024), studying Tharaka-Nithi County in Kenya, found that disputed land produced roughly half the yield of undisputed land and that affected households spent between KSh 15,000 and KSh 40,000 annually resolving disputes, resources that would otherwise have financed housing and other capital investment; their study also reported that a large majority of affected households built only temporary structures for fear of eventual demolition, a finding directly analogous to underinvestment in urban real estate under insecure tenure.

At the level of urban property and housing specifically, Ombui and Gachamba (2015) linked the individualization of previously communal land in Kenya's Rift Valley to landlessness and the growth of informal, insecure settlement, while Mbazor and Ojo (2019) found that land disputes between oil companies and communities in southern Nigeria caused material losses to property and business activity. Comparative evidence from Indonesia (Listyowati, 2008) associated unresolved land conflict with disrupted housing and tourism development, and Wehrmann's (2008) practitioner guide, drawing on cases across Latin America, similarly links land conflict to demolished homes and unplanned, informal development. On the finance and investment side, the systematic review by Lawry et al. (2017), synthesizing 29 quantitative and qualitative studies on land titling interventions, found that formal tenure recognition produced measurable investment and productivity gains, though these gains were smaller and more context-dependent in sub-Saharan Africa than in Asia or Latin America, a pattern the authors attribute to relatively high pre-existing levels of perceived security under customary tenure and to weak complementary institutions such as credit markets. Byamugisha (2016) reaches a related conclusion for land administration more broadly: registration alone rarely improves outcomes unless paired with functioning courts, credit markets, and enforcement capacity.

Kenya-specific institutional literature reinforces this picture. Boone (2017) argues that the National Land Commission created under the 2010 Constitution has had only limited success in curbing elite capture of public land, in part because of the scarcity of unallocated public land and the continued strength of a highly centralized, historically politicized land tenure regime. Manji (2015) similarly concludes that the 2012 land statutes, while progressive on paper, have not resolved the underlying historical injustices that continue to generate disputes such as Syokimau. Juma's (2012) legal analysis of urban evictions in Kenya, published in the immediate aftermath of Syokimau, found that none of the major evictions examined, including Syokimau, met a defensible public-interest test, and attributed the pattern to Kenya's underlying inequitable land ownership structure rather than to any single administrative failure.

The Syokimau case itself is unusually well documented across parliamentary, audit, and journalistic sources, which allows the mechanisms identified in the wider literature to be traced in detail. The parliamentary inquiry established that Kenya Airports Authority land acquired for JKIA in 1971 was never physically fenced or actively monitored, creating the opening for a 2001 survey that excised parcels later fraudulently converted and re-titled (Nation Media Group, 2023). Homeowners who purchased plots between the mid-2000s and 2011, several of whom had verified rate payments and title documents with the Ministry of Lands, discovered only when demolition notices were served that their titles were void (Opala, 2019). A 2020 forensic audit commissioned after years of parliamentary and civil-society pressure for compensation concluded that paying the affected owners would incentivize further encroachment on public land, and the claim for restitution was ultimately dismissed (Business Daily Africa, 2020). This outcome is consistent with the theoretical prediction that, once a dispute is adjudicated in favor of the original title holder, capital already invested in construction by the disputing party is simply lost, regardless of that party's good faith at the point of purchase.

Table 1

Summary of Key Empirical Studies Reviewed

Study	Context	Method	Key finding relevant to real estate/development
Muyanga & Gitau (2013)	Kenya (rural)	Survey, econometric	Land disputes reduce farmers' willingness to invest in cash crops and land improvements.
Mwesigye & Matsumoto (2014)	Uganda	Household survey	Disputed plots show about 17% lower agricultural production, linked to under-investment.
Siyum et al. (2015)	Ethiopia	Household survey	Dispute-resolution costs divert household resources from productive investment.
Thiong'o et al. (2024)	Kenya (Tharaka-Nithi)	Descriptive, regression, FGDs	Disputed land yields ~50% less; 91.5% of affected households build only temporary structures.
Ombui & Gachamba (2015)	Kenya (Rift Valley)	Survey	Land individualization linked to landlessness and insecure informal settlement.
Mbazor & Ojo (2019)	Nigeria	Case analysis	Community-investor land disputes cause material loss to property and business activity.
Lawry et al. (2017)	Multi-country systematic review	Systematic review (29 studies)	Tenure formalization raises investment/productivity, with weaker effects in sub-Saharan Africa.
Boone (2017)	Kenya (national)	Institutional/policy analysis	National Land Commission has had limited success curbing elite capture of land.
Juma (2012)	Kenya (Syokimau and other cases)	Legal/documentary analysis	Urban evictions, including Syokimau, fail a defensible public-interest test.
Syokimau case (multiple sources)	Kenya (Nairobi)	Documentary/case analysis	~600 homes demolished; compensation later denied despite valid-looking title documents.

7. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Drawing on the theoretical review and the empirical synthesis, the study conceptualizes land ownership disputes as the independent variable, operationalized through fraudulent or double allocation of titles, boundary and survey conflicts, weak enforcement of public land boundaries, and slow adjudication. These disputes act on real estate development outcomes, the dependent variable, comprising investment volume, project completion rates, property values, and net housing or commercial stock delivered, through three mediating channels: (i) investor and buyer confidence, which shapes site-selection and purchase decisions; (ii) access to formal finance, since disputed title cannot reliably serve as loan collateral; and (iii) legal and demolition risk, which can convert a completed asset into a total loss. Table 2 summarizes this framework.

Table 2

Conceptual Framework Linking Land Disputes to Real Estate Development Outcomes

Independent variable	Mediating channel	Dependent variable
Fraudulent/double title allocation	Investor and buyer confidence	Investment volume and site selection
Boundary and survey conflicts	Access to formal finance/collateral	Project financing and completion rates
Weak public land boundary enforcement	Legal and demolition risk	Property values and net housing/commercial stock
Slow adjudication and dispute resolution	Household/developer resource diversion	Construction quality and investment permanence

8. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study is a systematic narrative review, reported in line with the spirit of the PRISMA 2020 statement (Page et al., 2021), combined with a focused documentary case analysis of Syokimau. A strict statistical meta-analysis, in the sense of pooling effect sizes across studies, was not feasible because the underlying primary studies employ heterogeneous designs, including cross-sectional household surveys, regression analysis, focus group discussions, legal-documentary analysis, and institutional audits, and report outcomes on different scales (for example, percentage yield loss, monetary cost of dispute resolution, or qualitative displacement status). The study therefore follows the common social-science adaptation of narrative or thematic synthesis under a systematic review protocol, an approach explicitly accommodated within PRISMA 2020 reporting guidance for reviews that are not amenable to statistical pooling (Page et al., 2021).

Literature was identified through structured searches of open-access academic repositories, institutional and government publications, and reputable Kenyan news and legal sources, using combinations of the terms 'land dispute', 'land ownership conflict', 'real estate development', 'property investment', 'tenure security', and 'Syokimau'. Eligible sources were peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, institutional or government reports, and documented legal/audit records published between 2000 and 2026, addressing the relationship between land tenure conflict and investment, agricultural or real estate productivity, or housing/settlement outcomes, with a preference for Kenyan and comparable African or developing-country evidence. Sources were excluded where they were opinion pieces without an identifiable empirical or documentary basis, duplicated content already captured in a primary source, or unrelated to land tenure or ownership conflict. Screening proceeded in three stages consistent with the PRISMA logic of identification, screening, and inclusion: an initial pool of sources was identified from topic searches; titles and abstracts were screened for relevance to land disputes and development/investment outcomes; and the remaining full texts were assessed for eligibility, yielding the set of studies synthesized in Table 1 and cited throughout this review. For the Syokimau case specifically, primary reliance was placed on the 2011 parliamentary joint committee inquiry findings as reported in the national press, the 2020 forensic audit findings, and Juma's (2012) contemporaneous legal analysis, triangulated across independent news sources to reduce the risk of relying on a single account.

This approach has acknowledged limitations. The review relies on secondary and documentary sources for the Syokimau case rather than primary interviews with affected homeowners, developers, or land officials, and the search was conducted through open web-accessible academic and institutional repositories rather than subscription bibliographic databases such as Scopus or Web of Science, which may omit some paywalled empirical studies. These limitations are consistent with a rapid systematic review rather than a full Cochrane- or Campbell-style systematic review, and the findings should be read accordingly, as a synthesis of the best currently accessible evidence rather than an exhaustive census of the literature.

9. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Fraudulent and double allocation as the dominant driver. Across both the Kenyan and comparative literature, fraudulent or double allocation of title emerges as the single most cited proximate cause of disputes affecting development. In Syokimau, this took the specific form of an unfenced KAA parcel, a 2001 survey that excised land later re-titled, and a forged 2010 gazette notice used to convert the title regime under which the land was held (Nation Media Group, 2023). This matches the broader Kenyan pattern described by Boone (2017), in which the scarcity of unallocated public land creates strong incentives for elite actors to manufacture claims to already-owned or already-occupied parcels, and it is consistent with the honey-pot mechanism proposed by Thiong'o et al. (2024), in which the more valuable the land, the stronger the incentive for capture.

Effects on investor confidence and access to finance. The reviewed literature consistently links tenure insecurity to reduced investment. De Soto's (2000) collateral argument, while contested on the specifics of causation (Lawry et al., 2017), is broadly supported by the finding that formal titling raises investment and productivity, albeit with weaker and more context-dependent effects in sub-Saharan Africa. In the Kenyan urban property market, practitioner accounts describe unresolved land issues as directly shaping site-selection and financing decisions, since neither buyers, banks, nor developers can reliably rely on a title as security when its provenance is contested. Syokimau illustrates the extreme case: homeowners who had already secured financing and built houses discovered that their apparent collateral, a Ministry of Lands title deed, offered no protection once the underlying allocation was found fraudulent. Construction delays, demolition, and permanent capital loss. Where disputes escalate to demolition, the effect on development outcomes is not merely delay but outright reversal: capital invested in construction is destroyed rather than deferred. The Syokimau demolitions removed an estimated 600 completed homes from the housing stock in a single operation (Mzalendo Trust, 2011), a magnitude with no close parallel in the comparative rural literature, where disputes typically reduce investment intensity or yields rather than destroy already-completed capital assets. This suggests that the development impact of land ownership disputes may be non-linear: modest tenure insecurity depresses investment at the margin, consistent with the agricultural findings of Mwesigye and Matsumoto (2014) and Thiong'o et al. (2024), but a fully adjudicated adverse ruling, as in Syokimau, can eliminate the entire prior investment regardless of the buyer's diligence.

Institutional response and its limits. The 2010 constitutional reforms and the creation of the National Land Commission were intended to address exactly this class of problem, yet Boone (2017) and Manji (2015) both find only partial success, a conclusion the 2020 Syokimau audit outcome appears to confirm: rather than compensating owners or reforming allocation practices, the state's forensic response placed the burden of loss on the buyers, reasoning that compensation would reward encroachment (Business Daily Africa, 2020). This outcome sits uneasily with Juma's (2012) contemporaneous argument that the eviction failed a defensible public-interest test, and it illustrates a broader tension in the literature between formal legal correctness, restoring land to its documented rightful owner, and the practical, development-oriented goal of protecting good-faith investment.
Taken together, the synthesis supports the study's hypothesis: land ownership disputes are associated with reduced investment, constrained access to finance, delayed or abandoned projects, and, in the most severe cases, outright destruction of completed real estate assets. The magnitude and form of this effect varies with the value of the underlying land and the stage at which the dispute is resolved, with airport-adjacent and rapidly urbanizing corridors such as Syokimau representing a high-value, high-incentive setting in which the honey-pot dynamics described by Thiong'o et al. (2024) are most acute.

10. CONCLUSIONS

This review synthesized empirical and institutional evidence on the effect of land ownership disputes on real estate development in Kenya, anchored by the Syokimau demolitions as a detailed empirical case. The evidence indicates that fraudulent and double allocation of title, weak enforcement of public land boundaries, and slow adjudication are the principal drivers of disputes affecting development, and that these disputes act through reduced investor confidence, constrained access to finance, and, in severe cases, the outright demolition of completed property. Syokimau demonstrates that even title deeds issued by the Ministry of Lands and years of rate payment to a local authority did not protect homeowners once the underlying allocation was found fraudulent, and that the state's own post-hoc remedy, a forensic audit, ultimately declined to compensate the affected owners. Despite the 2010 constitutional reforms and the creation of the National Land Commission, the institutional literature suggests these mechanisms have had only partial success in preventing comparable disputes. The paper concludes that land ownership disputes remain a material and, in high-value urban corridors, potentially severe constraint on real estate development in Kenya.

11. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the synthesis, the paper recommends the following:

1. Accelerate the digitization and public verifiability of land records, including physical demarcation and continuous monitoring of public land boundaries, to reduce the scope for the kind of unfenced, unmonitored parcels that enabled the Syokimau excision.
2. Mandate independent, pre-purchase and pre-development title verification through the National Land Commission and county land registries, with statutory liability for officials who issue or authenticate fraudulent instruments.
3. Fast-track land-ownership disputes affecting completed or near-complete developments through the Environment and Land Court, to reduce the period during which capital remains at risk of total loss.
4. Introduce a statutory buyer-protection or title-insurance mechanism, so that good-faith purchasers who relied on validly issued documents are not left entirely uncompensated when an underlying allocation is later found fraudulent.
5. Support further primary, quantitative research specifically on urban real estate development, since the empirical base identified in this review is considerably stronger for agricultural land than for urban property, limiting the precision with which effect sizes can currently be estimated for the real estate sector.

REFERENCES

Boone, C. (2017). The challenge of reforming land governance in Kenya under the 2010 Constitution. Journal of Modern African Studies, 55(4), 537–566. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022278X17000441

Business Daily Africa. (2020, December 15). MPs' bid to have Syokimau homeowners paid dismissed. https://www.businessdailyafrica.com/bd/corporate/companies/mps-bid-to-have-syokimau-homeowners-paid-dismissed--2012720

Byamugisha, F. F. K. (2016). Securing land tenure and easing access to land (Africa Center for Economic Transformation Series). World Bank.

Cytonn Investments. (2023). Property regulations in Kenya. https://cytonn.com/topicals/property-regulations-in

De Soto, H. (2000). The mystery of capital: Why capitalism triumphs in the West and fails everywhere else. Basic Books.

Government of Kenya. (2009). Sessional paper no. 3 of 2009 on national land policy. Ministry of Lands.

Government of Kenya. (2010). Constitution of Kenya, 2010. National Council for Law Reporting.

Juma, L. (2012). Nothing but a mass of debris: Urban evictions and the right of access to adequate housing in Kenya. African Human Rights Law Journal, 12(2), 470–489.

Lawry, S., Samii, C., Hall, R., Leopold, A., Hornby, D., & Mtero, F. (2017). The impact of land property rights interventions on investment and agricultural productivity in developing countries: A systematic review. Journal of Development Effectiveness, 9(1), 61–81. https://doi.org/10.1080/19439342.2016.1160947

Listyowati, S. (2008). Mediation: The alternative of land dispute resolution in Indonesia [Conference paper]. Asia Pacific Mediation Forum Conference, International Islamic University Malaysia.

Manji, A. (2015). Whose land is it anyway? The failure of land law reform in Kenya. Africa Research Institute.

Mbazor, D., & Ojo, B. (2019). Impact of land disputes on community development. Journal of Land Use, Mobility and Environment, 12(1), 83–96.

Muyanga, M., & Gitau, R. (2013). Do land disputes affect smallholder agricultural productivity? Evidence from Kenya. Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development, 4(14), 1–4.

Mwesigye, F., & Matsumoto, T. (2014). Rural-rural migration and land conflicts: Implications on agricultural productivity in Uganda [Conference paper]. National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies Conference on Land and Poverty, World Bank.

Mzalendo Trust. (2011, November 18). On Syokimau. https://mzalendo.com/media-centre/blogs-opinions/on-syokimau/

Nation Media Group. (2023, October 22). Mavoko demolitions awaken dark memories of Syokimau land scam. Daily Nation. https://nation.africa/kenya/news/mavoko-demolitions-awaken-dark-memories-of-syokimau-land-scam-4409258

North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.

Ombui, B. M., & Gachamba, I. M. (2015). Factors leading to squatter's problem in Rift Valley Province in Kenya. Journal of Law and Conflict Resolution, 6(3), 48–55.

Opala, K. (2019). How public servants gave away Syokimau. Africa Centre for Open Governance (AfriCOG). https://africog.org/how-public-servants-gave-away-syokimau-by-ken-opala/

Ovadia, J. S. (2013). The reinvention of elite accumulation in the Angolan oil sector: Emergent capitalism in a rentier economy. Cadernos de Estudos Africanos, (25), 33–64.

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., … Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 10, Article 89. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01626-4

Siyum, B., Kassa, B., & Amba, M. (2015). Farmland conflict and its social-economic consequences in Tahtay Qoraro, Tigray, Ethiopia. International Journal of African and Asian Studies, 9, 44–52.

Thiong'o, S., Muiruri, P., & Musau, J. (2024). Land disputes and the consequences on social economic activities of households in Tharaka Nithi County, Kenya. International Journal of Conflict Management, 5(2), 10–22.

Wehrmann, B. (2008). Land conflicts: A practical guide to dealing with land disputes. Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ).