African Journal of Emerging Issues
Peer reviewed | Double blind | Interdisciplinary

African Journal of Emerging Issues
(AJOEI)
Online ISSN: 2663 - 9335
Available at: https://ajoeijournals.org

EDUCATION

ENHANCING SAFETY MEASURES IN EARLY CHILDHOOD SETTINGS: STRATEGIES FOR CREATING SECURE AND NURTURING ENVIRONMENTS

Sharon Anyango Onditi

Lecturer Department of Education Psychology and Science, Rongo University

Email: sonditi@rongovarsity.ac.ke

Publication Date: July 2026

ABSTRACT

Purpose of Study: To enhance safety measures in early childhood settings by developing strategies for creating secure and nurturing environments in Homa Bay County, Kenya.

Problem Statement: Early childhood settings including preschools, daycare centers and kindergartens are foundational to children's cognitive, social and emotional development. In many low-resource contexts, however, inadequate safety protocols, limited trained staff, and the neglect of emotional security continue to compromise children's well-being. In Homa Bay County, Kenya early childhood centers face persistent gaps between national policy frameworks and the actual implementation of physical and protective safety measures.

Methodology: The study targeted key stakeholders from caregivers to county officials, this program drives early childhood safety through five core components, including capacity building, collaborative protocols, and affordable technology. Guided by policy and evidence, its cyclical model transitions resource-constrained settings from basic safety readiness to sustained maturity.

Results: The program framework establishes a structured operational roadmap that systematically transitions early childhood centers from reactive safety practices to institutionalized maturity. Key outcomes include five integrated program components, standardized multi-stakeholder governance protocols, and a mixed-methods monitoring system aimed at eliminating environmental hazards while enhancing caregiver emotional responsiveness.

Recommendations: Implementation should commence as a phased pilot in Homa Bay County to systematically identify and resolve operational barriers prior to regional scaling. Multi-stakeholder safety committees must be institutionalized at county and center levels, underpinned

by active budgetary advocacy and mandatory trauma-informed relational safety training across all centers.

Keywords: *Early childhood education, Safety protocols, Emotional security, Public health model, Kenya, Child maltreatment prevention, Homa Bay County, Kenya*

INTRODUCTION

Early childhood settings such as preschools, daycare centers, and kindergartens are foundational to children's developmental progress, shaping cognitive, social, and emotional trajectories that extend well into later life (Bronfenbrenner, 1979; Verma et al., 2022). The period from birth to age five is characterized by rapid neurological growth and heightened environmental sensitivity, making the quality of care during this window especially consequential (Shetty et al., 2025). Although early childhood education systems in many parts of the world have expanded access in recent decades, the quality of care particularly as it relates to safety remains deeply uneven (UNESCO, 2024). Safety in early childhood settings encompasses two interdependent dimensions: physical protection from hazards such as falls, choking, burns, and poisoning; and emotional security, which refers to the stable, responsive relationships children form with their caregivers and educators (CDC, 2019; Speidel et al., 2023). A growing body of research demonstrates that children who experience protective, stable, and supportive environments are far more likely to achieve positive developmental outcomes. Conversely, exposure to physically hazardous or emotionally insecure settings can have lasting negative consequences across cognitive, social, and behavioral domains (Schickedanz et al., 2021; Tervaniemi et al., 2023).

In Kenya, the government has committed to early childhood development (ECD) through the Basic Education Act (2013) and the national Nurturing Care Framework. Implementation at the county level, however, remains uneven (ESSA, 2025). Homa Bay County, a predominantly rural area with significant resource constraints exemplifies this implementation gap: many early childhood centers in the county lack basic safety equipment, adequately trained staff, and systematic approaches to emotional security. The scale of the challenge is underscored by data showing that nearly 46% of Kenyan children experience physical violence (ChildFund Kenya, 2023), highlighting the urgency of addressing both physical and relational safety in a coordinated and context-sensitive manner. Existing safety interventions in low-resource settings often focus narrowly on physical hazard reduction, without integrating emotional security or engaging the full range of stakeholders necessary for long-term sustainability (Mercy et al., 1993; Nampijja et al., 2022). There is a clear need for a coherent, locally grounded program that bridges the gap between policy intent and everyday practice.

This paper presents a program design for enhancing physical and emotional safety in early childhood settings in Homa Bay County, Kenya. Grounded in ecological systems theory and the CDC's Essentials for Childhood Framework, and guided by a public health model, the program integrates staff training, protocol development, stakeholder engagement, and continuous monitoring within a cyclical readiness-maturity framework. The aim is to provide a replicable design that can be adapted to similar resource-constrained contexts across the region.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Early childhood settings are intended to provide environments where children are protected from physical harm and supported in their emotional and social development through stable, responsive relationships. In a well-functioning system, safety protocols are proactive, comprehensive, and regularly updated; staff are adequately trained and supported; resources are sufficient; and emotional security is explicitly integrated into daily practice. Such environments not only shield children from immediate harm but also lay the foundation for lifelong cognitive, social, and emotional development (CDC, 2019; Speidel et al., 2023).

In many developing countries, particularly across sub-Saharan Africa, policy attention has largely been directed toward expanding enrollment and basic service delivery, while systematic implementation of comprehensive safety measures has lagged considerably. In Kenya, approximately 70% of children lack access to quality early childhood care and education (UNESCO, 2024). Significant gaps exist in child protection, responsive caregiving, and safety, particularly for children aged zero to three (ESSA, 2025). In Homa Bay County, early childhood centers frequently operate without adequate safety protocols, trained personnel, or community structures that reinforce protective norms.

Current safety measures across these settings are often reactive and fragmented, developed in response to incidents rather than designed to prevent them, and rarely coordinated across institutions or adapted to local realities. Equally concerning, the relational dimension of safety emotional security through nurturing, consistent caregiving is rarely addressed in any systematic way, despite substantial evidence linking it to positive developmental outcomes (Speidel et al., 2023; Tervaniemi et al., 2023). This paper addresses these compounding gaps by designing a comprehensive, context-sensitive program that integrates physical and emotional safety, capacity building, stakeholder engagement, and monitoring systems into a coherent, actionable framework.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

i. To identify key physical and emotional safety challenges in early childhood settings in Homa Bay County, Kenya.

ii. To develop and operationalize comprehensive safety protocols aligned with national and local guidelines.

iii. To enhance the capacity of educators, caregivers, and administrators to implement safety measures effectively.

iv. To engage parents, community stakeholders, and local government in promoting a culture of safety and child protection.

v. To assess the feasibility and potential impact of a context-sensitive safety program on child development outcomes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Physical and Emotional Safety in Early Childhood Settings

Physical safety is a foundational prerequisite for healthy child development. Unintentional injuries remain among the leading causes of death and disability among young children globally, with children aged birth to five particularly vulnerable due to immature motor development and

limited risk awareness (Shetty et al., 2025). Common hazards in early childhood settings include falls, choking, poisoning, burns, and allergic reactions, many of which are preventable through systematic environmental assessments and structured safety protocols. Well-designed safety systems reduce the incidence of physical harm and create predictable, stable environments conducive to learning and exploration (Verma et al., 2022).

Emotional security is equally critical, though it receives far less systematic attention in programmatic interventions. Secure attachments with caregivers and educators are consistently associated with positive outcomes including school readiness, academic achievement, and social competence (Frontiers in Education, 2024; Tervaniemi et al., 2023). Conversely, emotional insecurity is linked to heightened anxiety, behavioral dysregulation, and diminished academic performance over time (Speidel et al., 2023). Educators who demonstrate warmth, sensitive responsiveness, and consistent boundaries create classroom climates where children feel secure enough to explore, take risks, and cooperate with peers (Vogelgesang et al., 2022). This evidence base makes a compelling case for integrating emotional security explicitly into safety program design rather than treating it as secondary to physical hazard prevention.

Early Childhood Education in Kenya and Sub-Saharan Africa

Kenya has made notable progress in expanding access to early childhood education. The Basic Education Act (2013) mandates two years of pre-primary schooling as part of the basic education cycle, and by 2019 approximately 2.7 million children were enrolled (ESSA, 2025; Wickenden et al., 2023). However, the expansion of access has not been matched by commensurate investment in quality, particularly in the domains of safety and child protection. ECD services remain severely underfunded: Kenya allocates only 1.8% of its education budget to pre-primary education, far below the internationally recommended 10% (ESSA, 2025; UNICEF, 2021). Kenya's decentralized education structure means that counties bear primary responsibility for ECD service delivery, creating both opportunities for locally tailored programming and significant risks of uneven implementation across regions (Nampijja et al., 2022). In Homa Bay County, these structural constraints are compounded by limited human capital, geographic dispersal of centers, and inadequate oversight mechanisms.

Stakeholder Engagement and Staff Training

Effective safety programming requires active and sustained engagement from a broad coalition of actors; educators, caregivers, parents, community members, and local government officials. Participatory approaches that involve these groups in design and implementation tend to produce more culturally appropriate, contextually grounded, and durable interventions (Mercy et al., 1993; CDC, 2019). Staff training occupies a particularly central role: professional development that is sustained, interactive, and embedded in the everyday work environment has been shown to improve educator practice and produce measurable improvements in child outcomes (Brunsek et al., 2020). In Kenya, however, training opportunities for ECD staff are often infrequent, disconnected from local realities, and inadequately followed up with on-site support (Pence & Nsamenang, 2008). Addressing this gap requires reframing professional development not as a one-time event but as an ongoing institutional process.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is grounded in Bronfenbrenner's (1979) Ecological Systems Theory, which posits that child development is shaped by multiple nested environmental systems from the immediate

microsystem (family, school) through the mesosystem (linkages between these settings), the exosystem (community institutions and local government), and the macrosystem (cultural values and national policies). This theoretical lens reframes safety in early childhood settings not as an isolated institutional concern but as a phenomenon shaped by family dynamics, community resources, institutional policies, and broader socioeconomic structures (El Zaatari & Maalouf, 2022). A child who experiences physical protection and emotional security within their microsystem is better positioned to develop resilience, engage in exploratory learning, and build positive social relationships that carry forward into later developmental stages (Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 2006).

Complementing this theoretical base, the public health model articulated by Mercy et al. (1993) provides the operational architecture for the program design. This model outlines a stepwise process for defining and measuring key safety indicators, selecting appropriate measurement tools, identifying at-risk populations, and sequencing interventions to match the level of evidence available. Crucially, it emphasizes that precise operationalization of constructs must precede measurement and intervention design, a principle that informs the program's careful distinction between physical safety and emotional security, and its structured approach to monitoring (Glynn & Backer, 2010).

Conceptual Framework

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework of Institutional Safety Practices

The conceptual framework integrates these theoretical foundations into a cyclical model that distinguishes between two developmental states of institutional safety practice. *Safety readiness* refers to the presence of basic enabling conditions: initial infrastructure, foundational policies, and entry-level staff capacity. *Safety maturity*, by contrast, refers to the sustained, systematic embedding of safety cultures across all levels of institutional life characterized by routine accountability, continuous improvement cycles, and the active integration of emotional security into daily practice.

The framework comprises four iterative phases that collectively move a setting from readiness toward maturity (see Figure 1):

Phase 1- Capacity Building: Developing the foundational enablers of safety, including trained staff, adequate safety equipment, and initial protocols aligned with national standards.

Phase 2 - Institutionalization: Embedding safety practices into organizational routines, governance structures, and formal policy documents so that they become a standard feature of institutional life rather than an external mandate.

Phase 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation: Implementing systematic feedback mechanisms incident tracking, periodic safety audits, staff and parent surveys that generate data to support accountability and guide ongoing adaptation.

Phase 4 - Nurturing Environment: Integrating relational safety emotional security, stable caregiver bonds, and positive daily interactions into the institutional fabric in ways that sustain and continuously refine the earlier phases.

These phases operate as a continuous cycle rather than a linear sequence: monitoring outcomes from Phase 3 feeds back into capacity building in Phase 1, enabling iterative refinement. This cyclical architecture aligns closely with the CDC's Essentials for Childhood Framework (CDC, 2019), which emphasizes using data to drive decision-making, establishing supportive norms, and creating policies that sustain protective, stable, and responsive environments for children.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a conceptual program design approach to develop a comprehensive safety intervention for early childhood settings in Homa Bay County, Kenya. The methodology draws on a narrative synthesis of existing literature and policy documents, structured by the theoretical and conceptual frameworks described above.

Data Sources

Source materials were drawn from three primary streams: peer-reviewed journal articles relevant to early childhood safety, emotional security, and intervention design; policy documents including Kenya's Basic Education Act, the national Nurturing Care Framework, and the CDC's Essentials for Childhood Framework; and institutional reports from UNESCO, UNICEF, and the Evidence for Social Action (ESSA) program. Sources published between 2008 and 2025 were purposively selected based on their relevance to early childhood safety in low-resource contexts, with particular emphasis on sub-Saharan African settings.

Analytical Approach

A thematic content analysis was applied across the assembled sources to extract and organize insights related to physical safety, emotional security, stakeholder engagement, staff capacity, and policy implementation. Analysis was guided by the dual theoretical lenses of Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory and the public health model (Mercy et al., 1993), which structured the identification of relationships between safety readiness indicators and maturity outcomes. Comparative mapping across contexts was used to identify transferable lessons from higher-income and regional settings, enabling thoughtful adaptation to the Homa Bay context.

Program Design Process

Program components were developed through a participatory logic. The target population identified in the original program plan; educators, caregivers, county government officials, parents, and community stakeholders directly shaped the stakeholder engagement strategy. The public health model's emphasis on operationalizing safety constructs prior to measurement

(Glynn & Backer, 2010) guided the selection of clearly defined, measurable indicators. The cyclical readiness–maturity framework provided the structural logic for sequencing program activities across time.

Limitations

As a conceptual design, this paper does not present empirical data from implementation. The program components are grounded in the available evidence base but have not yet been piloted in the Homa Bay context. Findings from implementation and evaluation will be necessary to validate the design assumptions and refine the framework for scale-up.

Design Principles

The thematic analysis yielded four overarching design principles that anchor the program logic. Each principle is presented below, followed by a summary of the proposed program components in Table 1.

Principle 1: Physical Safety and Emotional Security Are Distinct but Inseparable

The literature consistently affirms that physical protection from hazards and emotional security through stable, nurturing relationships are both essential for holistic child development and that interventions addressing only one dimension produce incomplete results. This principle requires that emotional security not be treated as an afterthought or as separate programming, but rather as a co-equal dimension embedded in safety protocols, staff training curricula, and environmental design from the outset.

Principle 2: Global and Regional Best Practices Offer Transferable Lessons

High-quality early childhood systems including disaster risk reduction programs in Indonesia and ECD policy innovations in South Africa demonstrate that sustainable safety requires continuous professional development, meaningful community participation, and policies that embed relational and physical safety simultaneously. These models do not transfer wholesale, but their underlying design logic offers valuable anchors for contextual adaptation in Homa Bay County.

Principle 3: Homa Bay's Safety Gap Is One of Maturity, Not Just Resources

Despite the existence of national policies, early childhood settings in Homa Bay County exhibit a gap between basic safety readiness (policy awareness, some infrastructure) and safety maturity (systematic protocols, regular audits, emotional security programming, stakeholder accountability structures). Addressing this gap requires not only more resources but a deliberate investment in institutionalization embedding safety as a routine feature of governance, training, and daily practice rather than a periodic external requirement.

Principle 4: Sustained Change Requires a Cyclical, Adaptive Approach

One-off trainings or isolated infrastructure projects are insufficient for building safety cultures that endure. A cyclical model one that builds capacity, embeds practices institutionally, monitors outcomes continuously, and uses that feedback to nurture ever more secure environments is the structural prerequisite for the kind of sustained change that protects children over time. This principle shapes both the sequencing and the governance architecture of the proposed program.

Table 1

Program Components and Expected Outcomes

Component	Target group	Key activities	Anticipated outcome
Safety awareness campaigns	All stakeholders	Community workshops; information sessions; media campaigns	Increased safety awareness and shared commitment
Staff capacity building	Educators, caregivers, administrators	Interactive workshops; hands-on safety training; social-emotional learning (SEL) modules	Improved safety practices; enhanced emotional responsiveness
Protocol development	Administrators, directors, county ECD officers	Collaborative protocol design; policy alignment sessions; local context adaptation	Comprehensive, context-sensitive safety policies
Multi-stakeholder safety committees	Parents, county government, community leaders, health providers	Formation of safety committees; regular meetings; accountability frameworks	Stronger collaboration, shared ownership, sustained oversight
Technology integration	All centers	Mobile reporting tools; digital checklists; parent-teacher communication apps	Enhanced monitoring, real-time incident tracking, efficient communication
Monitoring and evaluation	Program implementers, committees	Mixed-methods data collection (surveys, audits, incident records); feedback loops	Evidence-based adjustments; accountability; long-term sustainability

Results

Synthesizing findings from policy analyses, evidence reviews, and stakeholder mapping yields a structured set of anticipated outcomes and operational results. These results define the baseline conditions, expected structural transformations, and key recommendations necessary for driving early childhood centers from safety readiness to sustained maturity. The design framework establishes clear comparative metrics between the pre-intervention state typical of low-resource centers in Homa Bay County and the target outcomes following program implementation:

Table 2: Baseline Assessment vs. Targeted outcome

Domain	Baseline Status (Pre-Intervention)	Target Program Outcome (Post-Implementation)
Physical Hazard Management	Reactive response to severe injuries; absent or non-functional safety equipment.	Proactive daily digital environmental checklists; 100% resolution of high-risk physical hazards within 48 hours.
Relational Safety and social-emotional learning	Punitive discipline models; emotional security treated as secondary or informal.	Standardized social-emotional learning (SEL) integration; routine trauma-informed and responsive caregiving practices.
Governance & Oversight	Ad-hoc administrative oversight; disconnected community/parental involvement	Operational center- and county-level safety committees meeting quarterly with documented accountability tracking
Data & Incident Tracking	Paper-based, rare, or unrecorded incident logs; no centralized trend analysis.	Low-cost mobile/SMS reporting tools enabling real-time incident tracking and immediate policy adaptation.

Through this program, early childhood centers are expected to advance from minimum compliance to contextually adapted safety protocols while cultivating greater caregiver confidence, warmth, and reduced reliance on harsh disciplinary practices. At the same time, multi-stakeholder committees will forge strong ties between communities and county officials to drive shared accountability, while mixed-methods evaluation generates real-time data to support ongoing, adaptive management.

To turn these outcomes into sustained practice, implementation should begin as a phased pilot in Homa Bay County to capture key operational learnings before scaling up. This roll-out must be supported by formal safety committees with direct reporting lines to county offices, the early integration of trauma-informed relational safety alongside physical hazard training, and the strategic use of program data to advocate for higher county budget allocations for early childhood development.

Discussion

The program design presented here addresses a critical gap in early childhood safety in resource-constrained settings, specifically Homa Bay County, Kenya. By explicitly integrating relational safety with physical hazard prevention, and by engaging a wide coalition of stakeholders from classroom educators to county government the program moves beyond the traditional hazard-reduction model toward a more holistic and socially embedded approach. The cyclical readiness maturity framework reflects the understanding that safety is not a threshold to be crossed once but a continuous process of building capacity, embedding practices, monitoring outcomes, and refining the conditions that enable children to thrive.

A central insight from the design analysis is that policy frameworks alone do not produce protective environments. Even where national policies exist—such as Kenya's Basic Education Act and the Nurturing Care Framework—county-level implementation often remains fragmented and under-resourced. The proposed program addresses this disconnect by creating localized accountability structures through multi-stakeholder safety committees, and by embedding safety

practices into the daily rhythms of institutional life rather than treating them as compliance exercises.

Implementation Considerations

Several practical challenges warrant explicit acknowledgment. Kenya's limited public investment in ECD currently 1.8% of the education budget constrains the acquisition of safety equipment, staff training, and monitoring infrastructure. Building the evidence base for long-term developmental and economic returns on ECD investment will be essential to sustain advocacy for increased allocation. Second, staff turnover in early childhood settings is a chronic disruptor of program continuity; professional development must therefore be embedded in institutional systems rather than attached to individual staff members who may leave. Third, community norms around discipline and authority over children may require sensitive engagement strategies to ensure that safety measures are adopted in culturally respectful and locally owned ways.

Limitations of the Design

This paper presents a conceptual program design; its effectiveness depends on faithful implementation, thoughtful contextual adaptation, and rigorous evaluation under real-world conditions. The design has not yet been piloted, and the proposed monitoring framework will require feasibility testing in the Homa Bay context. While the program draws on lessons from comparable settings globally, local adaptation is not optional it is fundamental to achieving community ownership and durable change.

Theoretical Contributions

The program contributes to the scholarly literature in two principal ways. First, it operationalizes the CDC's Essentials for Childhood Framework within a low-income, rural African setting, demonstrating how a framework developed in a high-income context can be meaningfully adapted without losing its core logic. Second, it extends Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory by showing how coordinated interventions across micro-, meso-, and exosystem levels can be structured within a cyclical readiness–maturity model. The explicit treatment of physical safety and emotional security as analytically distinct yet programmatically integrated dimensions offers a conceptual refinement that can guide both future research and practical program design.

CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a comprehensive program design for enhancing physical and emotional safety in early childhood settings in Homa Bay County, Kenya. Grounded in Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, the public health model of Mercy et al. (1993), and the CDC's Essentials for Childhood Framework, the program integrates staff training, protocol development, multi-stakeholder engagement, and continuous monitoring within a cyclical readiness–maturity framework. The design responds to a well-documented gap in Kenya's early childhood landscape: national policies exist, but local implementation of protective measures especially those addressing relational safety and emotional security remains inconsistent and fragmented.

By distinguishing between safety readiness and safety maturity, the framework offers early childhood settings a structured pathway toward sustained protective cultures rather than periodic compliance. The active involvement of parents, community members, and county government builds the accountability and ownership necessary for long-term sustainability. If implemented

and rigorously evaluated, this program has the potential to serve as a replicable model for other resource-constrained settings across sub-Saharan Africa contributing to a broader regional shift from enrollment-focused ECD policy toward quality- and safety-centered practice.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To roll out this program effectively, implementation should begin as a phased, learning-oriented pilot within a sample of Homa Bay County centers. Treating this initial stage as an intentional learning exercise allows for systematic documentation of barriers, facilitators, and necessary adaptations before any attempt at broader scaling. Operating alongside this implementation, multi-stakeholder safety committees need to be established at both center and county levels. These committees require clear mandates, defined membership, regular meeting schedules, and direct reporting lines to county ECD offices, with their primary scope covering resource mobilization and the oversight of monitoring systems.

Securing the long-term success of these centers relies on advocating for increased and sustained ECD funding. Building a compelling case for higher budget allocations depends on solid evidence, and the implementation research generated through this program can provide the exact data required to demonstrate the developmental and economic returns of investing in safety infrastructure and staff development. Crucially, relational safety must be treated as a core foundation rather than a secondary addition. Social-emotional learning, trauma-informed caregiving, and relationship-based practice should be woven into staff training and safety protocols from the very beginning, rather than delayed until after physical safety systems are set up.

Finally, driving continuous improvement requires a robust, mixed-methods monitoring system. By tracking both process indicators—such as training completion, protocol adoption, and committee meeting frequency—and outcome indicators—like incident rates, child well-being metrics, and overall caregiver and parent satisfaction—the program can embrace adaptive management while building a persuasive evidence base for future expansion.

REFERENCES

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.

Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (2006). The bioecological model of human development. In R. M. Lerner & W. Damon (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology: Theoretical models of human development* (6th ed., Vol. 1, pp. 793–828). Wiley.

Brunsek, A., Perlman, M., McMullen, E., Falenchuk, O., Fletcher, B., Nocita, G., Kamkar, N., & Shah, P. S. (2020). A meta-analysis and systematic review of the associations between professional development of early childhood educators and children's outcomes. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 53, 217–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2020.03.003

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019). *Essentials for childhood: Creating safe, stable, nurturing relationships and environments for all children*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/essentials-for-childhood-framework.pdf

ChildFund Kenya. (2023). *Early childhood development*. https://childfundkenya.org/our-work/early-childhood-development/

El Zaatari, W., & Maalouf, I. (2022). How the Bronfenbrenner bio-ecological system theory explains the development of students' sense of belonging to school. *SAGE Open*, 12(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221134089

Evidence for Social Action. (2025). *Policy brief: Enhancing holistic child development in Kenya through context-relevant research*. https://essa-africa.org/node/2038

Frontiers in Education. (2024). The role of socio-emotional security on school engagement and academic achievement: Systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Education*, 9, Article 1437297. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1437297

Glynn, M. K., & Backer, L. (2010). Collecting public health surveillance data: Creating a surveillance system. In L. M. Lee, S. M. Teutsch, S. B. Thacker, & M. E. St. Louis (Eds.), *Principles and practice of public health surveillance* (3rd ed., pp. 44–64). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195372922.003.0004

Mercy, J. A., Rosenberg, N. L., Powell, K. E., Broome, C. V., & Roper, W. L. (1993). Public health policy for preventing violence. *Health Affairs*, 12(4), 7–29. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.12.4.7

Nampijja, M., Okelo, K., Wekulo, P. K., Kimani-Murage, E. W., & Elsey, H. (2022). Improving early childhood development in the context of the nurturing care framework in Kenya: A policy review and qualitative exploration. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, Article 1016156. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1016156

Pence, A., & Nsamenang, B. (2008). *A case for early childhood development in sub-Saharan Africa* (Working Papers in Early Childhood Development No. 49). Bernard van Leer Foundation.

Schickedanz, A., Halfon, N., Sastry, N., & Chung, P. J. (2021). Parents' adverse childhood experiences and their children's behavioral health problems. *Pediatrics*, 148(3), Article e2021051492. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2021-051492

Shetty, S., Nayak, B. S., George, A., Shetty, A., & Guddattu, V. (2025). Evidence of interventions for the prevention of unintentional injuries: Scoping review. *JMIR Pediatrics and Parenting*, 8, Article e67877. https://doi.org/10.2196/67877

Speidel, R., Wong, T. K. Y., Al-Janaideh, R., & Colasante, T. (2023). Nurturing child social-emotional development: Evaluation of a pre-post and 2-month follow-up uncontrolled pilot training for caregivers and educators. *Pilot and Feasibility Studies*, 9, Article 148. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40814-023-01357-4

Tervaniemi, M., Putkinen, V., & Huotilainen, M. (2023). Supporting social-emotional development in early childhood education and care: A randomized parallel group trial. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 67(7), 1069–1087. https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2023.2204119

UNESCO. (2024). *How can we transform early childhood care and education in Eastern Africa?* https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/how-can-we-transform-early-childhood-care-and-education-eastern-africa

UNICEF. (2021). *Pre-primary education budget analysis: Kenya*. UNICEF Kenya Country Office.

Verma, P., Hearn, K., Zahran, R., & Alowais, A. (2022). The quality of private early childhood education and care centers: A Ras Al Khaimah-based case study. *Gulf Education and Social Policy Review*, 3(1). https://doi.org/10.18502/gespr.v3i1.11495

Vogelgesang, J., Hahn, I., & Kärtner, J. (2022). Strengthening emotional development and emotion regulation in childhood—as a key task in early childhood education. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(9), Article 5199. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19095199

Wickenden, M., Njoka, J., Karisa, P., & Shakespeare, T. (2023). Inclusive early childhood development and education in Kenya. *Disability and the Global South*, 10(1), 2267–2289. https://dgsjournal.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/03_10_01.pdf